# Lawson-Pierce Duality between Ample Groupoid Bundles and Steinberg Rings & Semigroups

#### **Tristan Bice**

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences

Groupoidfest 2021 (November 13th) University of Colorado, Colorado Springs

Various classical dualities take the form:

 $\label{eq:commutative Rings/Algebras} \quad \leftrightarrow \quad \text{Topological Spaces/Bundles}.$ 

Various classical dualities take the form:

 $\label{eq:commutative Rings/Algebras} \quad \leftrightarrow \quad \text{Topological Spaces/Bundles}.$ 

1. Gelfand (1941):

Various classical dualities take the form:

 $\label{eq:commutative Rings/Algebras} \quad \leftrightarrow \quad \text{Topological Spaces/Bundles}.$ 

```
1. Gelfand (1941):
Commutative C*-Algebras
```

Various classical dualities take the form:

 $\label{eq:commutative Rings/Algebras} \quad \leftrightarrow \quad \text{Topological Spaces/Bundles}.$ 

1. Gelfand (1941):

Commutative C\*-Algebras

 $\leftrightarrow \ \ \text{Locally Compact Hausdorff Spaces.}$ 

Various classical dualities take the form:

 $\label{eq:commutative Rings/Algebras} \quad \leftrightarrow \quad \text{Topological Spaces/Bundles}.$ 

1. Gelfand (1941):

Commutative C\*-Algebras

 $\leftrightarrow \ \ \text{Locally Compact Hausdorff Spaces}.$ 

2. Keimel (1970):

Various classical dualities take the form:

 $\label{eq:commutative Rings/Algebras} \quad \leftrightarrow \quad \text{Topological Spaces/Bundles}.$ 

1. Gelfand (1941):

Commutative C\*-Algebras

 $\leftrightarrow \ \ \text{Locally Compact Hausdorff Spaces.}$ 

2. Keimel (1970):

Idempotent-Generated Torsion-Free Commutative Algebras

Various classical dualities take the form:

 $\label{eq:commutative Rings/Algebras} \quad \leftrightarrow \quad \text{Topological Spaces/Bundles}.$ 

1. Gelfand (1941):

Commutative C\*-Algebras

 $\leftrightarrow \ \ \text{Locally Compact Hausdorff Spaces.}$ 

2. Keimel (1970):

Idempotent-Generated Torsion-Free Commutative Algebras

 $\leftrightarrow$  0-Dimensional Compact Hausdorff Spaces (= Stone Spaces).

Various classical dualities take the form:

 $\label{eq:commutative Rings/Algebras} \quad \leftrightarrow \quad \text{Topological Spaces/Bundles}.$ 

1. Gelfand (1941):

Commutative C\*-Algebras

- $\leftrightarrow \ \ \text{Locally Compact Hausdorff Spaces}.$
- 2. Keimel (1970):

Idempotent-Generated Torsion-Free Commutative Algebras

- $\leftrightarrow$  0-Dimensional Compact Hausdorff Spaces (= Stone Spaces).
- 3. Pierce (1967) and Dauns-Hofmann (1966):

Various classical dualities take the form:

 $\label{eq:commutative Rings/Algebras} \quad \leftrightarrow \quad \text{Topological Spaces/Bundles}.$ 

1. Gelfand (1941):

Commutative C\*-Algebras

- $\leftrightarrow \ \ \text{Locally Compact Hausdorff Spaces.}$
- 2. Keimel (1970):

Idempotent-Generated Torsion-Free Commutative Algebras

- $\leftrightarrow$  0-Dimensional Compact Hausdorff Spaces (= Stone Spaces).
- 3. Pierce (1967) and Dauns-Hofmann (1966):

**Biregular Rings** 

Various classical dualities take the form:

 $\label{eq:commutative Rings/Algebras} \quad \leftrightarrow \quad \text{Topological Spaces/Bundles}.$ 

1. Gelfand (1941):

Commutative C\*-Algebras

- $\leftrightarrow \ \ \text{Locally Compact Hausdorff Spaces}.$
- 2. Keimel (1970):

Idempotent-Generated Torsion-Free Commutative Algebras

- $\leftrightarrow$  0-Dimensional Compact Hausdorff Spaces (= Stone Spaces).
- 3. Pierce (1967) and Dauns-Hofmann (1966):

**Biregular Rings** 

 $\leftrightarrow~$  Bundles of Simple Rings over Stone Spaces.

Various classical dualities take the form:

 $\label{eq:commutative Rings/Algebras} \quad \leftrightarrow \quad \text{Topological Spaces/Bundles}.$ 

1. Gelfand (1941):

Commutative C\*-Algebras

- $\leftrightarrow \ \ \text{Locally Compact Hausdorff Spaces}.$
- 2. Keimel (1970):
  - Idempotent-Generated Torsion-Free Commutative Algebras
  - $\leftrightarrow$  0-Dimensional Compact Hausdorff Spaces (= Stone Spaces).
- 3. Pierce (1967) and Dauns-Hofmann (1966):

**Biregular Rings** 

 $\leftrightarrow~$  Bundles of Simple Rings over Stone Spaces.

What about noncommutative extensions?

Various classical dualities take the form:

 $\label{eq:commutative Rings/Algebras} \quad \leftrightarrow \quad \text{Topological Spaces/Bundles}.$ 

1. Gelfand (1941):

Commutative C\*-Algebras

- $\leftrightarrow \ \ \text{Locally Compact Hausdorff Spaces}.$
- 2. Keimel (1970):
  - Idempotent-Generated Torsion-Free Commutative Algebras
  - $\leftrightarrow$  0-Dimensional Compact Hausdorff Spaces (= Stone Spaces).
- 3. Pierce (1967) and Dauns-Hofmann (1966):

Biregular Rings

- $\leftrightarrow~$  Bundles of Simple Rings over Stone Spaces.
- What about noncommutative extensions?
  - 1. Kumjian (1986) and Renault (2008):

Various classical dualities take the form:

 $\label{eq:commutative Rings/Algebras} \quad \leftrightarrow \quad \text{Topological Spaces/Bundles}.$ 

1. Gelfand (1941):

Commutative C\*-Algebras

- $\leftrightarrow \ \ \text{Locally Compact Hausdorff Spaces}.$
- 2. Keimel (1970):
  - Idempotent-Generated Torsion-Free Commutative Algebras
  - $\leftrightarrow$  0-Dimensional Compact Hausdorff Spaces (= Stone Spaces).
- 3. Pierce (1967) and Dauns-Hofmann (1966):

Biregular Rings

- $\leftrightarrow~$  Bundles of Simple Rings over Stone Spaces.
- What about noncommutative extensions?
  - 1. Kumjian (1986) and Renault (2008): Cartan Pairs of C\*-Algebras

Various classical dualities take the form:

 $\label{eq:commutative Rings/Algebras} \quad \leftrightarrow \quad \text{Topological Spaces/Bundles}.$ 

1. Gelfand (1941):

Commutative C\*-Algebras

- $\leftrightarrow \ \ \text{Locally Compact Hausdorff Spaces}.$
- 2. Keimel (1970):
  - Idempotent-Generated Torsion-Free Commutative Algebras
  - $\leftrightarrow$  0-Dimensional Compact Hausdorff Spaces (= Stone Spaces).
- 3. Pierce (1967) and Dauns-Hofmann (1966):

Biregular Rings

- $\leftrightarrow~$  Bundles of Simple Rings over Stone Spaces.
- What about noncommutative extensions?
  - 1. Kumjian (1986) and Renault (2008):

Cartan Pairs of C\*-Algebras

 $\leftrightarrow \mbox{ Twisted Effective LCH Étale Groupoids.}$ 

Various classical dualities take the form:

 $\label{eq:commutative Rings/Algebras} \quad \leftrightarrow \quad \text{Topological Spaces/Bundles}.$ 

1. Gelfand (1941):

Commutative C\*-Algebras

- $\leftrightarrow \ \ \text{Locally Compact Hausdorff Spaces}.$
- 2. Keimel (1970):
  - Idempotent-Generated Torsion-Free Commutative Algebras
  - $\leftrightarrow$  0-Dimensional Compact Hausdorff Spaces (= Stone Spaces).
- 3. Pierce (1967) and Dauns-Hofmann (1966):

Biregular Rings

- $\leftrightarrow~$  Bundles of Simple Rings over Stone Spaces.
- What about noncommutative extensions?
  - 1. Kumjian (1986) and Renault (2008):

Cartan Pairs of C\*-Algebras

 $\leftrightarrow \text{ Twisted Effective LCH Étale Groupoids. Functoriality?}$ 

Various classical dualities take the form:

 $\label{eq:commutative Rings/Algebras} \quad \leftrightarrow \quad \text{Topological Spaces/Bundles}.$ 

1. Gelfand (1941):

Commutative C\*-Algebras

- $\leftrightarrow \ \ \text{Locally Compact Hausdorff Spaces}.$
- 2. Keimel (1970):
  - Idempotent-Generated Torsion-Free Commutative Algebras
  - $\leftrightarrow$  0-Dimensional Compact Hausdorff Spaces (= Stone Spaces).
- 3. Pierce (1967) and Dauns-Hofmann (1966):

Biregular Rings

- $\leftrightarrow \ \, \text{Bundles of Simple Rings over Stone Spaces.}$
- What about noncommutative extensions?
  - 1. Kumjian (1986) and Renault (2008):
    - Cartan Pairs of C\*-Algebras
    - ↔ Twisted Effective LCH Étale Groupoids. Functoriality?
  - 2. Armstrong-Castro-Clark-Courtney-Lin-McCormick-Ramagge-Sims-Steinberg (2021):

Various classical dualities take the form:

 $\label{eq:commutative Rings/Algebras} \quad \leftrightarrow \quad \text{Topological Spaces/Bundles}.$ 

1. Gelfand (1941):

Commutative C\*-Algebras

- $\leftrightarrow \ \ \text{Locally Compact Hausdorff Spaces}.$
- 2. Keimel (1970):
  - Idempotent-Generated Torsion-Free Commutative Algebras
  - $\leftrightarrow$  0-Dimensional Compact Hausdorff Spaces (= Stone Spaces).
- 3. Pierce (1967) and Dauns-Hofmann (1966):

Biregular Rings

- $\leftrightarrow~$  Bundles of Simple Rings over Stone Spaces.
- What about noncommutative extensions?
  - 1. Kumjian (1986) and Renault (2008):
    - Cartan Pairs of C\*-Algebras
    - ↔ Twisted Effective LCH Étale Groupoids. Functoriality?
  - 2. Armstrong-Castro-Clark-Courtney-Lin-McCormick-Ramagge-Sims-Steinberg (2021):

Quasi-Cartain Pairs of Algebras

Various classical dualities take the form:

 $\label{eq:commutative Rings/Algebras} \quad \leftrightarrow \quad \text{Topological Spaces/Bundles}.$ 

1. Gelfand (1941):

Commutative C\*-Algebras

- $\leftrightarrow \ \ \text{Locally Compact Hausdorff Spaces}.$
- 2. Keimel (1970):
  - Idempotent-Generated Torsion-Free Commutative Algebras
  - $\leftrightarrow$  0-Dimensional Compact Hausdorff Spaces (= Stone Spaces).
- 3. Pierce (1967) and Dauns-Hofmann (1966):

**Biregular Rings** 

- $\leftrightarrow~$  Bundles of Simple Rings over Stone Spaces.
- What about noncommutative extensions?
  - 1. Kumjian (1986) and Renault (2008):
    - Cartan Pairs of C\*-Algebras
    - ↔ Twisted Effective LCH Étale Groupoids. Functoriality?
  - 2. Armstrong-Castro-Clark-Courtney-Lin-McCormick-Ramagge-Sims-Steinberg (2021):

Quasi-Cartain Pairs of Algebras

 $\leftrightarrow \mbox{ Twisted Effective Ample Groupoids}.$ 

Various classical dualities take the form:

 $\label{eq:commutative Rings/Algebras} \quad \leftrightarrow \quad \text{Topological Spaces/Bundles}.$ 

1. Gelfand (1941):

Commutative C\*-Algebras

- $\leftrightarrow \ \ \text{Locally Compact Hausdorff Spaces}.$
- 2. Keimel (1970):
  - Idempotent-Generated Torsion-Free Commutative Algebras
  - $\leftrightarrow$  0-Dimensional Compact Hausdorff Spaces (= Stone Spaces).
- 3. Pierce (1967) and Dauns-Hofmann (1966):

**Biregular Rings** 

- $\leftrightarrow~$  Bundles of Simple Rings over Stone Spaces.
- What about noncommutative extensions?
  - 1. Kumjian (1986) and Renault (2008):
    - Cartan Pairs of C\*-Algebras
    - ↔ Twisted Effective LCH Étale Groupoids. Functoriality?
  - 2. Armstrong-Castro-Clark-Courtney-Lin-McCormick-Ramagge-Sims-Steinberg (2021):

Quasi-Cartain Pairs of Algebras

 $\leftrightarrow \text{ Twisted Effective Ample Groupoids. Functoriality?}$ 

Various classical dualities take the form:

 $\label{eq:commutative Rings/Algebras} \quad \leftrightarrow \quad \text{Topological Spaces/Bundles}.$ 

1. Gelfand (1941):

Commutative C\*-Algebras

- $\leftrightarrow \ \ \text{Locally Compact Hausdorff Spaces.}$
- 2. Keimel (1970):
  - Idempotent-Generated Torsion-Free Commutative Algebras
  - $\leftrightarrow$  0-Dimensional Compact Hausdorff Spaces (= Stone Spaces).
- 3. Pierce (1967) and Dauns-Hofmann (1966):

**Biregular Rings** 

- $\leftrightarrow~$  Bundles of Simple Rings over Stone Spaces.
- What about noncommutative extensions?
  - 1. Kumjian (1986) and Renault (2008):
    - Cartan Pairs of C\*-Algebras
    - ↔ Twisted Effective LCH Étale Groupoids. Functoriality?
  - 2. Armstrong-Castro-Clark-Courtney-Lin-McCormick-Ramagge-Sims-Steinberg (2021):

Quasi-Cartain Pairs of Algebras

- $\leftrightarrow \text{ Twisted Effective Ample Groupoids. Functoriality?}$
- 3. **?????** Rings  $\leftrightarrow$  Bundles of Rings over Ample Groupoids?

Other lattice-topological dualities trace back to Stone (1936):

(Bool Alg = Bounded Complemented Distributive Lattice)

• Other lattice-topological dualities trace back to Stone (1936):

(Bool Alg = Bounded Complemented Distributive Lattice)

e.g. Wallman (1938), Shirota (1952), De Vries (1962), Priestley (1970), Grätzer (1978), Hofmann-Lawson (1978), Hansoul-Poussart (2008), Bezhanishvili-Jansana (2011), Gehrke-van Gool (2014), Celani-Gonzalez (2020), etc.

Other lattice-topological dualities trace back to Stone (1936):

(Bool Alg = Bounded Complemented Distributive Lattice)

e.g. Wallman (1938), Shirota (1952), De Vries (1962), Priestley (1970), Grätzer (1978), Hofmann-Lawson (1978), Hansoul-Poussart (2008), Bezhanishvili-Jansana (2011), Gehrke-van Gool (2014), Celani-Gonzalez (2020), etc.

Bundle extension by Lawson-Kudryavsteva (2015):

 ${\sf Skew \ Boolean \ Algebras} \quad \leftrightarrow \quad {\sf Bundles \ of \ Stone \ Spaces}.$ 

Other lattice-topological dualities trace back to Stone (1936):

(Bool Alg = Bounded Complemented Distributive Lattice)

e.g. Wallman (1938), Shirota (1952), De Vries (1962), Priestley (1970), Grätzer (1978), Hofmann-Lawson (1978), Hansoul-Poussart (2008), Bezhanishvili-Jansana (2011), Gehrke-van Gool (2014), Celani-Gonzalez (2020), etc.

Bundle extension by Lawson-Kudryavsteva (2015):

 ${\small \mathsf{Skew Boolean Algebras}} \quad \leftrightarrow \quad {\small \mathsf{Bundles of Stone Spaces}}.$ 

Noncommutative extensions by Lawson-Kudryavsteva (2017):

Boolean Restriction Semigroups  $\leftrightarrow$  Ample Categories.

**?????** Semigroups  $\leftrightarrow$  Ample Category-Groupoid Bundles?

Other lattice-topological dualities trace back to Stone (1936):

(Bool Alg = Bounded Complemented Distributive Lattice)

e.g. Wallman (1938), Shirota (1952), De Vries (1962), Priestley (1970), Grätzer (1978), Hofmann-Lawson (1978), Hansoul-Poussart (2008), Bezhanishvili-Jansana (2011), Gehrke-van Gool (2014), Celani-Gonzalez (2020), etc.

Bundle extension by Lawson-Kudryavsteva (2015):

 ${\small \mathsf{Skew Boolean Algebras}} \quad \leftrightarrow \quad {\small \mathsf{Bundles of Stone Spaces}}.$ 

Noncommutative extensions by Lawson-Kudryavsteva (2017):

 ${\sf Boolean \ Inverse \ Semigroups} \quad \leftrightarrow \quad {\sf Ample \ Groupoids}.$ 

Boolean Restriction Semigroups  $\leftrightarrow$  Ample Categories.

????? Semigroups ↔ Ample Category-Groupoid Bundles?

Could we even use these to derive their ring/algebra analogs?

▶ Let X be a Stone space (= 0-dim compact Hausdorff space).

• Let X be a Stone space (= 0-dim compact Hausdorff space).

• Order clopens CO(X) by inclusion, i.e.

$$O \leq N \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad O \subseteq N$$

Let X be a Stone space (= 0-dim compact Hausdorff space).

• Order clopens CO(X) by inclusion, i.e.

$$O \leq N \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad O \subseteq N$$

▶ Then CO(X) is a Boolean algebra  $\because \forall O, N, M \in CO(X)$ 

• How can we recover a Stone space X from  $\mathcal{CO}(X)$ ?

• How can we recover a Stone space X from  $\mathcal{CO}(X)$ ?

For each  $x \in X$ , note

$$\mathcal{U}_x = \{ O \in \mathcal{CO}(X) : x \in O \}$$

is a maximal proper down-directed up-set (= ultrafilter):

$$\begin{array}{cccc} \emptyset \notin \mathcal{U}_{x} & (\text{proper}) \\ O \supseteq N \in \mathcal{U}_{x} & \Rightarrow & O \in \mathcal{U}_{x} & (\text{up-set}) \\ O, N \in \mathcal{U}_{x} & \Rightarrow & O \cap N \in \mathcal{U}_{x} & (\text{down-directed}) \\ O \in \mathcal{U}_{x} & \text{or} & X \setminus O \in \mathcal{U}_{x} & (\text{maximal}) \end{array}$$

• How can we recover a Stone space X from  $\mathcal{CO}(X)$ ?

For each  $x \in X$ , note

$$\mathcal{U}_x = \{ O \in \mathcal{CO}(X) : x \in O \}$$

is a maximal proper down-directed up-set (= ultrafilter):

$$\begin{array}{cccc} \emptyset \notin \mathcal{U}_{x} & (\text{proper}) \\ O \supseteq N \in \mathcal{U}_{x} & \Rightarrow & O \in \mathcal{U}_{x} & (\text{up-set}) \\ O, N \in \mathcal{U}_{x} & \Rightarrow & O \cap N \in \mathcal{U}_{x} & (\text{down-directed}) \\ O \in \mathcal{U}_{x} & \text{or} & X \setminus O \in \mathcal{U}_{x} & (\text{maximal}) \end{array}$$

Conversely, if U ⊆ CO(X) is an ultrafilter then ∩U ≠ Ø, as X is compact, and hence ∩U = {x}, as U is maximal.

# Stone Space Recovery

• How can we recover a Stone space X from  $\mathcal{CO}(X)$ ?

For each  $x \in X$ , note

$$\mathcal{U}_x = \{ O \in \mathcal{CO}(X) : x \in O \}$$

is a maximal proper down-directed up-set (= ultrafilter):

$$\begin{array}{cccc} \emptyset \notin \mathcal{U}_{x} & (\text{proper}) \\ O \supseteq N \in \mathcal{U}_{x} & \Rightarrow & O \in \mathcal{U}_{x} & (\text{up-set}) \\ O, N \in \mathcal{U}_{x} & \Rightarrow & O \cap N \in \mathcal{U}_{x} & (\text{down-directed}) \\ O \in \mathcal{U}_{x} & \text{or} & X \setminus O \in \mathcal{U}_{x} & (\text{maximal}) \end{array}$$

- Conversely, if U ⊆ CO(X) is an ultrafilter then ∩U ≠ Ø, as X is compact, and hence ∩U = {x}, as U is maximal.
- So  $x \mapsto \mathcal{U}_x$  is a bijection from X onto ultrafilters in  $\mathcal{CO}(X)$ .

# Stone Space Recovery

• How can we recover a Stone space X from  $\mathcal{CO}(X)$ ?

For each  $x \in X$ , note

$$\mathcal{U}_x = \{ O \in \mathcal{CO}(X) : x \in O \}$$

is a maximal proper down-directed up-set (= ultrafilter):

$$\begin{array}{cccc} \emptyset \notin \mathcal{U}_{x} & (\text{proper}) \\ O \supseteq N \in \mathcal{U}_{x} & \Rightarrow & O \in \mathcal{U}_{x} & (\text{up-set}) \\ O, N \in \mathcal{U}_{x} & \Rightarrow & O \cap N \in \mathcal{U}_{x} & (\text{down-directed}) \\ O \in \mathcal{U}_{x} & \text{or} & X \setminus O \in \mathcal{U}_{x} & (\text{maximal}) \end{array}$$

Conversely, if U ⊆ CO(X) is an ultrafilter then ∩U ≠ Ø, as X is compact, and hence ∩U = {x}, as U is maximal.

So  $x \mapsto \mathcal{U}_x$  is a bijection from X onto ultrafilters in  $\mathcal{CO}(X)$ .

• Moreover,  $O \in CO(X)$  gets mapped to  $\{U_x : O \in U_x\}$ .

# Stone Space Recovery

• How can we recover a Stone space X from  $\mathcal{CO}(X)$ ?

For each  $x \in X$ , note

$$\mathcal{U}_x = \{ O \in \mathcal{CO}(X) : x \in O \}$$

is a maximal proper down-directed up-set (= ultrafilter):

$$\begin{array}{cccc} \emptyset \notin \mathcal{U}_{x} & (\text{proper}) \\ O \supseteq N \in \mathcal{U}_{x} & \Rightarrow & O \in \mathcal{U}_{x} & (\text{up-set}) \\ O, N \in \mathcal{U}_{x} & \Rightarrow & O \cap N \in \mathcal{U}_{x} & (\text{down-directed}) \\ O \in \mathcal{U}_{x} & \text{or} & X \setminus O \in \mathcal{U}_{x} & (\text{maximal}) \end{array}$$

- Conversely, if U ⊆ CO(X) is an ultrafilter then ∩U ≠ Ø, as X is compact, and hence ∩U = {x}, as U is maximal.
- So  $x \mapsto \mathcal{U}_x$  is a bijection from X onto ultrafilters in  $\mathcal{CO}(X)$ .
- Moreover,  $O \in CO(X)$  gets mapped to  $\{U_x : O \in U_x\}$ .
- Topologising ultrafilters like so,  $x \mapsto U_x$  is a homeomorphism.

• Given a Boolean algebra *B*, consider the topology on

 $\mathcal{U}(B) = \{ U \subseteq B : U \text{ is an ultrafilter} \}$ 

with basis  $\mathcal{U}(a) = \{U \in \mathcal{U}(B) : a \in U\}$ , for  $a \in B$ .

▶ Given a Boolean algebra *B*, consider the topology on

 $\mathcal{U}(B) = \{ U \subseteq B : U \text{ is an ultrafilter} \}$ 

with basis  $\mathcal{U}(a) = \{U \in \mathcal{U}(B) : a \in U\}$ , for  $a \in B$ .

▶ Identifying each  $U \in U(B)$  with  $\mathbf{1}_U : B \to \{0, 1\}$  where

$$\mathbf{1}_U(a) = egin{cases} 1 & ext{if } a \in U \ 0 & ext{if } a \notin U, \end{cases}$$

 $\mathcal{U}(B)$  is a closed subspace of  $\{0,1\}^B$ .

▶ Given a Boolean algebra *B*, consider the topology on

 $\mathcal{U}(B) = \{ U \subseteq B : U \text{ is an ultrafilter} \}$ 

with basis  $\mathcal{U}(a) = \{U \in \mathcal{U}(B) : a \in U\}$ , for  $a \in B$ .

▶ Identifying each  $U \in U(B)$  with  $\mathbf{1}_U : B \to \{0, 1\}$  where

$$\mathbf{1}_U(a) = egin{cases} 1 & ext{if } a \in U \ 0 & ext{if } a \notin U, \end{cases}$$

 $\mathcal{U}(B)$  is a closed subspace of  $\{0,1\}^B$ .

• Thus  $\mathcal{U}(B)$  is also a Stone space.

• Given a Boolean algebra *B*, consider the topology on

 $\mathcal{U}(B) = \{ U \subseteq B : U \text{ is an ultrafilter} \}$ 

with basis  $\mathcal{U}(a) = \{U \in \mathcal{U}(B) : a \in U\}$ , for  $a \in B$ .

▶ Identifying each  $U \in U(B)$  with  $\mathbf{1}_U : B \to \{0, 1\}$  where

$$\mathbf{1}_U(a) = egin{cases} 1 & ext{if } a \in U \ 0 & ext{if } a \notin U, \end{cases}$$

 $\mathcal{U}(B)$  is a closed subspace of  $\{0,1\}^B$ .

• Thus  $\mathcal{U}(B)$  is also a Stone space.

#### Theorem (Stone 1936)

Boolean algebras are dual to Stone spaces via

$$B \mapsto \mathcal{U}(B)$$
 and  $X \mapsto \mathcal{CO}(X)$ .

► A groupoid G is a 'group with many units', i.e.

► A groupoid G is a 'group with many units', i.e.

1. We have a (partial) associative product on  $G^2 \subseteq G \times G$ .

# $Groupoids \rightarrow Inverse \ Semigroups$

► A groupoid G is a 'group with many units', i.e.

- 1. We have a (partial) associative product on  $G^2 \subseteq G \times G$ .
- 2. Each  $g \in G^0 = \{g \in G : gg = g\}$  is a unit, i.e.

$$(f,g),(g,h)\in G^2$$
  $\Rightarrow$   $fg=f$  and  $gh=h.$ 

## $Groupoids \rightarrow Inverse \ Semigroups$

A groupoid G is a 'group with many units', i.e.
1. We have a (partial) associative product on G<sup>2</sup> ⊆ G × G.
2. Each g ∈ G<sup>0</sup> = {g ∈ G : gg = g} is a unit, i.e. (f,g), (g,h) ∈ G<sup>2</sup> ⇒ fg = f and gh = h.
3. Each g ∈ G has a (unique) inverse g<sup>-1</sup>, i.e. such that s(g) = g<sup>-1</sup>g ∈ G<sup>0</sup> and r(g) = gg<sup>-1</sup> ∈ G<sup>0</sup>.

A groupoid G is a 'group with many units', i.e.
1. We have a (partial) associative product on G<sup>2</sup> ⊆ G × G.
2. Each g ∈ G<sup>0</sup> = {g ∈ G : gg = g} is a unit, i.e. (f,g), (g, h) ∈ G<sup>2</sup> ⇒ fg = f and gh = h.
3. Each g ∈ G has a (unique) inverse g<sup>-1</sup>, i.e. such that s(g) = g<sup>-1</sup>g ∈ G<sup>0</sup> and r(g) = gg<sup>-1</sup> ∈ G<sup>0</sup>.
We denote the bisections or slices of G by

 $\mathcal{B}(G) = \{B \subseteq G : r \text{ and } s \text{ are injective on } B\}.$ 

▶ A groupoid G is a 'group with many units', i.e. 1. We have a (partial) associative product on  $G^2 \subseteq G \times G$ . 2. Each  $g \in G^0 = \{g \in G : gg = g\}$  is a unit, i.e.  $(f,g), (g,h) \in G^2 \Rightarrow fg = f \text{ and } gh = h.$ 3. Each  $g \in G$  has a (unique) inverse  $g^{-1}$ , i.e. such that  $s(g) = g^{-1}g \in G^0$  and  $r(g) = gg^{-1} \in G^0$ . We denote the bisections or slices of G by  $\mathcal{B}(G) = \{B \subseteq G : r \text{ and } s \text{ are injective on } B\}.$ Associativity passes from G to  $\mathcal{B}(G)$  with the product  $BC = \{bc : b \in B, c \in C \text{ and } (b, c) \in G^2\}.$ 

▶ A groupoid G is a 'group with many units', i.e. 1. We have a (partial) associative product on  $G^2 \subseteq G \times G$ . 2. Each  $g \in G^0 = \{g \in G : gg = g\}$  is a unit, i.e.  $(f,g), (g,h) \in G^2 \Rightarrow fg = f \text{ and } gh = h.$ 3. Each  $g \in G$  has a (unique) inverse  $g^{-1}$ , i.e. such that  $s(g) = g^{-1}g \in G^0$  and  $r(g) = gg^{-1} \in G^0$ . We denote the bisections or slices of G by  $\mathcal{B}(G) = \{ B \subset G : r \text{ and } s \text{ are injective on } B \}.$ Associativity passes from G to  $\mathcal{B}(G)$  with the product  $BC = \{bc : b \in B, c \in C \text{ and } (b, c) \in G^2\}.$ For any  $B, C \subseteq G^0$ , note  $BC = B \cap C = CB$ .

▶ A groupoid G is a 'group with many units', i.e. 1. We have a (partial) associative product on  $G^2 \subseteq G \times G$ . 2. Each  $g \in G^0 = \{g \in G : gg = g\}$  is a unit, i.e.  $(f,g), (g,h) \in G^2 \Rightarrow fg = f \text{ and } gh = h.$ 3. Each  $g \in G$  has a (unique) inverse  $g^{-1}$ , i.e. such that  $s(g) = g^{-1}g \in G^0$  and  $r(g) = gg^{-1} \in G^0$ . We denote the bisections or slices of G by  $\mathcal{B}(G) = \{ B \subset G : r \text{ and } s \text{ are injective on } B \}.$ Associativity passes from G to  $\mathcal{B}(G)$  with the product  $BC = \{bc : b \in B, c \in C \text{ and } (b, c) \in G^2\}.$ For any  $B, C \subseteq G^0$ , note  $BC = B \cap C = CB$ .

 $\Rightarrow$  Idempotents in  $\mathcal{B}(G)$  commute (as  $B \subseteq G^0$  iff BB = B).

▶ A groupoid G is a 'group with many units', i.e. 1. We have a (partial) associative product on  $G^2 \subseteq G \times G$ . 2. Each  $g \in G^0 = \{g \in G : gg = g\}$  is a unit, i.e.  $(f,g), (g,h) \in G^2 \Rightarrow fg = f \text{ and } gh = h.$ 3. Each  $g \in G$  has a (unique) inverse  $g^{-1}$ , i.e. such that  $s(g) = g^{-1}g \in G^0$  and  $r(g) = gg^{-1} \in G^0$ . We denote the bisections or slices of G by  $\mathcal{B}(G) = \{B \subseteq G : r \text{ and } s \text{ are injective on } B\}.$ Associativity passes from G to  $\mathcal{B}(G)$  with the product  $BC = \{bc : b \in B, c \in C \text{ and } (b, c) \in G^2\}.$ For any  $B, C \subseteq G^0$ , note  $BC = B \cap C = CB$ .  $\Rightarrow$  Idempotents in  $\mathcal{B}(G)$  commute (as  $B \subseteq G^0$  iff BB = B). ▶ Also  $B \in \mathcal{B}(G)$  implies  $B^{-1} = \{b^{-1} : b \in B\} \in \mathcal{B}(G)$ ,  $BB^{-1}B = B$  and  $B^{-1}BB^{-1} = B^{-1}$ .

▶ A groupoid G is a 'group with many units', i.e. 1. We have a (partial) associative product on  $G^2 \subseteq G \times G$ . 2. Each  $g \in G^0 = \{g \in G : gg = g\}$  is a unit, i.e.  $(f,g), (g,h) \in G^2 \Rightarrow fg = f \text{ and } gh = h.$ 3. Each  $g \in G$  has a (unique) inverse  $g^{-1}$ , i.e. such that  $s(g) = g^{-1}g \in G^0$  and  $r(g) = gg^{-1} \in G^0$ . We denote the bisections or slices of G by  $\mathcal{B}(G) = \{ B \subset G : r \text{ and } s \text{ are injective on } B \}.$ Associativity passes from G to  $\mathcal{B}(G)$  with the product  $BC = \{bc : b \in B, c \in C \text{ and } (b, c) \in G^2\}.$ For any  $B, C \subseteq G^0$ , note  $BC = B \cap C = CB$ .  $\Rightarrow$  Idempotents in  $\mathcal{B}(G)$  commute (as  $B \subseteq G^0$  iff BB = B). Also  $B \in \mathcal{B}(G)$  implies  $B^{-1} = \{b^{-1} : b \in B\} \in \mathcal{B}(G)$ ,  $BB^{-1}B = B$  and  $B^{-1}BB^{-1} = B^{-1}$ .  $\Rightarrow \mathcal{B}(G)$  is an inverse semigroup.

▶ Take a groupoid *G*. For any  $O, N \in \mathcal{B}(G)$ ,

$$O \subseteq N \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad O = ON^{-1}N.$$

▶ Take a groupoid *G*. For any  $O, N \in \mathcal{B}(G)$ ,

$$O \subseteq N \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad O = ON^{-1}N.$$

 $\Rightarrow$  The canonical order on the inverse semigroup  $\mathcal{B}(G)$  is just  $\subseteq$ .

▶ Take a groupoid *G*. For any  $O, N \in \mathcal{B}(G)$ ,

$$O \subseteq N \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad O = ON^{-1}N.$$

 $\Rightarrow$  The canonical order on the inverse semigroup  $\mathcal{B}(G)$  is just  $\subseteq$ .

▶  $\mathcal{B}(G)$  is a  $\land$ -semilattice:  $O \land N = O \cap N$ , for  $O, N \in \mathcal{B}(G)$ .

▶ Take a groupoid *G*. For any  $O, N \in \mathcal{B}(G)$ ,

$$O \subseteq N \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad O = ON^{-1}N.$$

- $\Rightarrow$  The canonical order on the inverse semigroup  $\mathcal{B}(G)$  is just  $\subseteq$ .
- ▶  $\mathcal{B}(G)$  is a  $\land$ -semilattice:  $O \land N = O \cap N$ , for  $O, N \in \mathcal{B}(G)$ .
- ▶  $\mathcal{B}(G)$  is NOT a  $\lor$ -semilattice as  $O \cup N$  may not be a slice.

▶ Take a groupoid *G*. For any  $O, N \in \mathcal{B}(G)$ ,

$$O \subseteq N \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad O = ON^{-1}N.$$

- $\Rightarrow$  The canonical order on the inverse semigroup  $\mathcal{B}(G)$  is just  $\subseteq$ .
- ▶  $\mathcal{B}(G)$  is a  $\land$ -semilattice:  $O \land N = O \cap N$ , for  $O, N \in \mathcal{B}(G)$ .
- ▶  $\mathcal{B}(G)$  is NOT a  $\lor$ -semilattice as  $O \cup N$  may not be a slice.
- But orthogonal elements of  $\mathcal{B}(G)$  have joins. In fact,

$$ON^{-1} = O^{-1}N = \emptyset \qquad \Leftrightarrow \qquad O \cap N = \emptyset \text{ and } O \cup N \in \mathcal{B}(G).$$

▶ Take a groupoid *G*. For any  $O, N \in \mathcal{B}(G)$ ,

$$O \subseteq N \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad O = ON^{-1}N.$$

- $\Rightarrow$  The canonical order on the inverse semigroup  $\mathcal{B}(G)$  is just  $\subseteq$ .
- ▶  $\mathcal{B}(G)$  is a  $\land$ -semilattice:  $O \land N = O \cap N$ , for  $O, N \in \mathcal{B}(G)$ .
- ▶  $\mathcal{B}(G)$  is NOT a  $\lor$ -semilattice as  $O \cup N$  may not be a slice.
- But orthogonal elements of  $\mathcal{B}(G)$  have joins. In fact,

 $ON^{-1} = O^{-1}N = \emptyset \qquad \Leftrightarrow \qquad O \cap N = \emptyset \text{ and } O \cup N \in \mathcal{B}(G).$ 

Arbitrary idempotents O, N ⊆ G<sup>0</sup> also have joins O ∪ N as well as (relative) complements O \ N, i.e. satisfying

$$O \wedge (O \setminus N) = \emptyset$$
 and  $O \vee (O \setminus N) = O \vee N$ .

• Take a groupoid G. For any  $O, N \in \mathcal{B}(G)$ ,

$$O \subseteq N \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad O = ON^{-1}N.$$

- $\Rightarrow$  The canonical order on the inverse semigroup  $\mathcal{B}(G)$  is just  $\subseteq$ .
- ▶  $\mathcal{B}(G)$  is a  $\land$ -semilattice:  $O \land N = O \cap N$ , for  $O, N \in \mathcal{B}(G)$ .
- ▶  $\mathcal{B}(G)$  is NOT a  $\lor$ -semilattice as  $O \cup N$  may not be a slice.
- But orthogonal elements of  $\mathcal{B}(G)$  have joins. In fact,

 $ON^{-1} = O^{-1}N = \emptyset \qquad \Leftrightarrow \qquad O \cap N = \emptyset \text{ and } O \cup N \in \mathcal{B}(G).$ 

Arbitrary idempotents O, N ⊆ G<sup>0</sup> also have joins O ∪ N as well as (relative) complements O \ N, i.e. satisfying

$$O \wedge (O \setminus N) = \emptyset$$
 and  $O \vee (O \setminus N) = O \vee N$ .

• The idempotents  $\mathcal{P}(G^0)$  are also distributive.

▶ Take a groupoid *G*. For any  $O, N \in \mathcal{B}(G)$ ,

$$O \subseteq N \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad O = ON^{-1}N.$$

- $\Rightarrow$  The canonical order on the inverse semigroup  $\mathcal{B}(G)$  is just  $\subseteq$ .
- ▶  $\mathcal{B}(G)$  is a  $\land$ -semilattice:  $O \land N = O \cap N$ , for  $O, N \in \mathcal{B}(G)$ .
- ▶  $\mathcal{B}(G)$  is NOT a  $\lor$ -semilattice as  $O \cup N$  may not be a slice.
- But orthogonal elements of  $\mathcal{B}(G)$  have joins. In fact,

 $ON^{-1} = O^{-1}N = \emptyset \qquad \Leftrightarrow \qquad O \cap N = \emptyset \text{ and } O \cup N \in \mathcal{B}(G).$ 

Arbitrary idempotents O, N ⊆ G<sup>0</sup> also have joins O ∪ N as well as (relative) complements O \ N, i.e. satisfying

$$O \wedge (O \setminus N) = \emptyset$$
 and  $O \vee (O \setminus N) = O \vee N$ .

The idempotents P(G<sup>0</sup>) are also distributive.
 ⇒ B(G) forms a Boolean inverse semigroup.

Ample Groupoids  $\rightarrow$  Boolean Inverse Semigroups

# Ample Groupoids $\rightarrow$ Boolean Inverse Semigroups

► A topology on a groupoid G is étale if it has a basis of open bisections B closed under taking inverses and products, i.e.

$$O \in \mathcal{B} \Rightarrow O^{-1} \in \mathcal{B}.$$
  
 $O, N \in \mathcal{B} \Rightarrow ON \in \mathcal{B}.$ 

► A topology on a groupoid G is étale if it has a basis of open bisections B closed under taking inverses and products, i.e.

$$O \in \mathcal{B} \Rightarrow O^{-1} \in \mathcal{B}.$$
  
 $O, N \in \mathcal{B} \Rightarrow ON \in \mathcal{B}.$ 

 $\Leftrightarrow \mathcal{B}^{\circ}(G) = \{ O \subseteq G : O \text{ is an open bisection} \} \text{ is both a basis for} \\ \text{the topology and an inverse subsemigroup of } \mathcal{B}(G).$ 

A topology on a groupoid G is étale if it has a basis of open bisections B closed under taking inverses and products, i.e.

$$O \in \mathcal{B} \Rightarrow O^{-1} \in \mathcal{B}.$$
  
 $O, N \in \mathcal{B} \Rightarrow ON \in \mathcal{B}.$ 

 $\Leftrightarrow \mathcal{B}^{\circ}(G) = \{ O \subseteq G : O \text{ is an open bisection} \} \text{ is both a basis for} \\ \text{the topology and an inverse subsemigroup of } \mathcal{B}(G).$ 

 $\Leftrightarrow$  s, r,  $^{-1}$  and  $\cdot$  (on  $G^2$ ) are all continuous open maps.

► A topology on a groupoid G is étale if it has a basis of open bisections B closed under taking inverses and products, i.e.

- $\Leftrightarrow \mathcal{B}^{\circ}(G) = \{ O \subseteq G : O \text{ is an open bisection} \} \text{ is both a basis for} \\ \text{the topology and an inverse subsemigroup of } \mathcal{B}(G).$
- $\Leftrightarrow$  s, r,  $^{-1}$  and  $\cdot$  (on  $G^2$ ) are all continuous open maps.
- A Hausdorff topology on a groupoid is ample if it has a basis of compact open bisections closed under inverses & products.

► A topology on a groupoid G is étale if it has a basis of open bisections B closed under taking inverses and products, i.e.

- $\Leftrightarrow \mathcal{B}^{\circ}(G) = \{ O \subseteq G : O \text{ is an open bisection} \} \text{ is both a basis for} \\ \text{the topology and an inverse subsemigroup of } \mathcal{B}(G).$
- $\Leftrightarrow$  s, r,  $^{-1}$  and  $\cdot$  (on  $G^2$ ) are all continuous open maps.
- A Hausdorff topology on a groupoid is ample if it has a basis of compact open bisections closed under inverses & products.
- $\Leftrightarrow \mathcal{B}^{\circ}_{c}(G) = \{ O \subseteq G : O \text{ is a compact open bisection} \} \text{ is both a basis for the topology and an inverse subsemigroup of } \mathcal{B}(G).$

► A topology on a groupoid G is étale if it has a basis of open bisections B closed under taking inverses and products, i.e.

- $\Leftrightarrow \mathcal{B}^{\circ}(G) = \{ O \subseteq G : O \text{ is an open bisection} \} \text{ is both a basis for} \\ \text{the topology and an inverse subsemigroup of } \mathcal{B}(G).$
- $\Leftrightarrow$  s, r,  $^{-1}$  and  $\cdot$  (on  $G^2$ ) are all continuous open maps.
- A Hausdorff topology on a groupoid is ample if it has a basis of compact open bisections closed under inverses & products.
- $\Leftrightarrow \mathcal{B}^{\circ}_{c}(G) = \{ O \subseteq G : O \text{ is a compact open bisection} \} \text{ is both a basis for the topology and an inverse subsemigroup of } \mathcal{B}(G).$
- $\Leftrightarrow$  G is 0-dimensional locally compact and étale.

► A topology on a groupoid G is étale if it has a basis of open bisections B closed under taking inverses and products, i.e.

- $\Leftrightarrow \mathcal{B}^{\circ}(G) = \{ O \subseteq G : O \text{ is an open bisection} \} \text{ is both a basis for} \\ \text{the topology and an inverse subsemigroup of } \mathcal{B}(G).$
- $\Leftrightarrow$  s, r,  $^{-1}$  and  $\cdot$  (on  $G^2$ ) are all continuous open maps.
- A Hausdorff topology on a groupoid is ample if it has a basis of compact open bisections closed under inverses & products.
- $\Leftrightarrow \mathcal{B}^{\circ}_{c}(G) = \{ O \subseteq G : O \text{ is a compact open bisection} \} \text{ is both a basis for the topology and an inverse subsemigroup of } \mathcal{B}(G).$
- $\Leftrightarrow$  G is 0-dimensional locally compact and étale.
- ▶ If  $O, N \in \mathcal{B}^{\circ}_{c}(G)$  then  $O \setminus N, O \cap N \in \mathcal{B}^{\circ}_{c}(G)$ . If  $O \perp N$  then  $O \cup N \in \mathcal{B}^{\circ}_{c}(G)$  too so  $\mathcal{B}^{\circ}_{c}(G)$  is a Boolean inverse semigroup.

# Boolean Inverse Semigroups $\rightarrow$ Ample Groupoids

## Boolean Inverse Semigroups $\rightarrow$ Ample Groupoids

Any Boolean inverse semigroup S again yields a Stone space

 $\mathcal{U}(S) = \{ U \subseteq S : U \text{ is an ultrafilter} \}$ 

with basis  $\mathcal{U}(a) = \{U \in \mathcal{U}(S) : a \in U\}$ , for  $a \in S$ .

- Any Boolean inverse semigroup S again yields a Stone space U(S) = {U ⊆ S : U is an ultrafilter} with basis U(a) = {U ∈ U(S) : a ∈ U}, for a ∈ S.
  U(S) is also a groupoid with inverse U → U<sup>-1</sup> and product
  - $U \cdot V = (UV)^{\leq} = \{a \geq uv : u \in U \text{ and } v \in V\} \text{ (when } 0 \notin UV\text{)}.$

## Boolean Inverse Semigroups $\rightarrow$ Ample Groupoids

- Any Boolean inverse semigroup S again yields a Stone space U(S) = {U ⊆ S : U is an ultrafilter} with basis U(a) = {U ∈ U(S) : a ∈ U}, for a ∈ S.
  U(S) is also a groupoid with inverse U → U<sup>-1</sup> and product U·V = (UV)<sup>≤</sup> = {a ≥ uv : u ∈ U and v ∈ V} (when 0 ∉ UV).
- Each basic open set  $\mathcal{U}(a)$  is then a compact open bisection.

Any Boolean inverse semigroup S again yields a Stone space

 $\mathcal{U}(S) = \{ U \subseteq S : U \text{ is an ultrafilter} \}$ 

with basis  $\mathcal{U}(a) = \{U \in \mathcal{U}(S) : a \in U\}$ , for  $a \in S$ .

- ▶ U(S) is also a groupoid with inverse  $U \mapsto U^{-1}$  and product  $U \cdot V = (UV)^{\leq} = \{a \geq uv : u \in U \text{ and } v \in V\}$  (when  $0 \notin UV$ ).
- Each basic open set U(a) is then a compact open bisection.
   Also U(a)<sup>-1</sup> = U(a<sup>-1</sup>) and U(a) ⋅ U(b) = U(ab).

Any Boolean inverse semigroup S again yields a Stone space

 $\mathcal{U}(S) = \{ U \subseteq S : U \text{ is an ultrafilter} \}$ 

with basis  $\mathcal{U}(a) = \{U \in \mathcal{U}(S) : a \in U\}$ , for  $a \in S$ .

- ▶ U(S) is also a groupoid with inverse  $U \mapsto U^{-1}$  and product  $U \cdot V = (UV)^{\leq} = \{a \geq uv : u \in U \text{ and } v \in V\}$  (when  $0 \notin UV$ ).
- Each basic open set  $\mathcal{U}(a)$  is then a compact open bisection.
- Also  $\mathcal{U}(a)^{-1} = \mathcal{U}(a^{-1})$  and  $\mathcal{U}(a) \cdot \mathcal{U}(b) = \mathcal{U}(ab)$ .
- $\Rightarrow \mathcal{U}(S)$  is an ample groupoid.

Any Boolean inverse semigroup S again yields a Stone space
U(S) = {U ⊆ S : U is an ultrafilter}
with basis U(a) = {U ∈ U(S) : a ∈ U}, for a ∈ S.
U(S) is also a groupoid with inverse U → U<sup>-1</sup> and product

$$U \cdot V = (UV)^{\leq} = \{a \geq uv : u \in U \text{ and } v \in V\}$$
 (when  $0 \notin UV$ ).

- Each basic open set  $\mathcal{U}(a)$  is then a compact open bisection.
- Also  $\mathcal{U}(a)^{-1} = \mathcal{U}(a^{-1})$  and  $\mathcal{U}(a) \cdot \mathcal{U}(b) = \mathcal{U}(ab)$ .
- ⇒  $\mathcal{U}(S)$  is an ample groupoid. ► If  $S = \mathcal{B}_c^\circ(G)$ , for ample G, we have an isomorphism to  $\mathcal{U}(S)$ :

$$g\mapsto \mathcal{U}_g=\{O\in S:g\in O\},$$

i.e. a homeomorphism with  $\mathcal{U}_{g^{-1}} = \mathcal{U}_g^{-1}$  and  $\mathcal{U}_{gh} = \mathcal{U}_g \cdot \mathcal{U}_h$ .

# Boolean Inverse Semigroups $\rightarrow$ Ample Groupoids

Any Boolean inverse semigroup S again yields a Stone space

$$\mathcal{U}(S) = \{ U \subseteq S : U \text{ is an ultrafilter} \}$$

with basis  $\mathcal{U}(a) = \{U \in \mathcal{U}(S) : a \in U\}$ , for  $a \in S$ .

- ▶ U(S) is also a groupoid with inverse  $U \mapsto U^{-1}$  and product  $U \cdot V = (UV)^{\leq} = \{a \geq uv : u \in U \text{ and } v \in V\}$  (when  $0 \notin UV$ ).
- Each basic open set  $\mathcal{U}(a)$  is then a compact open bisection.
- Also  $\mathcal{U}(a)^{-1} = \mathcal{U}(a^{-1})$  and  $\mathcal{U}(a) \cdot \mathcal{U}(b) = \mathcal{U}(ab)$ .
- $\Rightarrow \mathcal{U}(S)$  is an ample groupoid.
  - ▶ If  $S = \mathcal{B}_{c}^{\circ}(G)$ , for ample *G*, we have an isomorphism to  $\mathcal{U}(S)$ :

$$g\mapsto \mathcal{U}_g=\{O\in S:g\in O\},$$

i.e. a homeomorphism with  $\mathcal{U}_{g^{-1}} = \mathcal{U}_g^{-1}$  and  $\mathcal{U}_{gh} = \mathcal{U}_g \cdot \mathcal{U}_h$ .

#### Theorem (Lawson 2010)

Boolean inverse semigroups are dual to ample groupoids via

$$S\mapsto \mathcal{U}(S)$$
 and  $G\mapsto \mathcal{B}^\circ_\mathsf{c}(G).$ 

If G is an ample groupoid then the largest idempotent contained in any O ∈ B<sup>o</sup><sub>c</sub>(G) is given by Φ(O) = O ∩ G<sup>0</sup>.

If G is an ample groupoid then the largest idempotent contained in any O ∈ B<sup>o</sup><sub>c</sub>(G) is given by Φ(O) = O ∩ G<sup>0</sup>.

• On any inverse semigroup S with meets, we can also define

$$\Phi(a) = a \wedge aa^{-1} = a \wedge a^{-1}a = \max\{e \in S : ee = e \leq a\}.$$

If G is an ample groupoid then the largest idempotent contained in any O ∈ B<sup>o</sup><sub>c</sub>(G) is given by Φ(O) = O ∩ G<sup>0</sup>.

On any inverse semigroup S with meets, we can also define

$$\Phi(a) = a \wedge aa^{-1} = a \wedge a^{-1}a = \max\{e \in S : ee = e \leq a\}.$$

Leech's Converse (1995): If Φ(a) = max{e ∈ S : ee = e ≤ a} exists for all a ∈ S then S has meets, specifically

$$a \wedge b = \Phi(ab^{-1})b = a\Phi(a^{-1}b).$$

If G is an ample groupoid then the largest idempotent contained in any O ∈ B<sup>o</sup><sub>c</sub>(G) is given by Φ(O) = O ∩ G<sup>0</sup>.

On any inverse semigroup S with meets, we can also define

$$\Phi(a) = a \wedge aa^{-1} = a \wedge a^{-1}a = \max\{e \in S : ee = e \leq a\}.$$

Leech's Converse (1995): If Φ(a) = max{e ∈ S : ee = e ≤ a} exists for all a ∈ S then S has meets, specifically

$$a \wedge b = \Phi(ab^{-1})b = a\Phi(a^{-1}b).$$

• Leech also noted  $\Phi$  is an expectation: for any  $e \in \operatorname{ran}(\Phi)$ ,

$$\Phi(ae)=\Phi(a)e, \qquad \Phi(ea)=e\Phi(a) \qquad ext{and} \qquad \Phi(e)=e.$$

If G is an ample groupoid then the largest idempotent contained in any O ∈ B<sup>o</sup><sub>c</sub>(G) is given by Φ(O) = O ∩ G<sup>0</sup>.

On any inverse semigroup S with meets, we can also define

$$\Phi(a) = a \wedge aa^{-1} = a \wedge a^{-1}a = \max\{e \in S : ee = e \leq a\}.$$

Leech's Converse (1995): If Φ(a) = max{e ∈ S : ee = e ≤ a} exists for all a ∈ S then S has meets, specifically

$$a \wedge b = \Phi(ab^{-1})b = a\Phi(a^{-1}b).$$

• Leech also noted  $\Phi$  is an expectation: for any  $e \in ran(\Phi)$ ,

$$\Phi(ae) = \Phi(a)e, \qquad \Phi(ea) = e\Phi(a) \qquad ext{and} \qquad \Phi(e) = e.$$

•  $\Phi$  is also shiftable, i.e.  $\Phi(ab)a = a\Phi(ba)$ , and bistable:

 $ab\in\operatorname{ran}(\Phi)$   $\Rightarrow$   $a\Phi(b),\Phi(a)b\in\operatorname{ran}(\Phi).$ 

If G is an ample groupoid then the largest idempotent contained in any O ∈ B<sup>o</sup><sub>c</sub>(G) is given by Φ(O) = O ∩ G<sup>0</sup>.

• On any inverse semigroup S with meets, we can also define

$$\Phi(a) = a \wedge aa^{-1} = a \wedge a^{-1}a = \max\{e \in S : ee = e \leq a\}.$$

Leech's Converse (1995): If Φ(a) = max{e ∈ S : ee = e ≤ a} exists for all a ∈ S then S has meets, specifically

$$a \wedge b = \Phi(ab^{-1})b = a\Phi(a^{-1}b).$$

• Leech also noted  $\Phi$  is an expectation: for any  $e \in \operatorname{ran}(\Phi)$ ,

$$\Phi(ae)=\Phi(a)e, \qquad \Phi(ea)=e\Phi(a) \qquad ext{and} \qquad \Phi(e)=e.$$

•  $\Phi$  is also shiftable, i.e.  $\Phi(ab)a = a\Phi(ba)$ , and bistable:

$$ab\in\operatorname{ran}(\Phi)$$
  $\Rightarrow$   $a\Phi(b),\Phi(a)b\in\operatorname{ran}(\Phi).$ 

Bistable shiftable expectations will soon play a greater role...

► A category *C* is a 'groupoid without inverses', i.e.

- ► A category *C* is a 'groupoid without inverses', i.e.
  - 1. We have a (partial) associative product on  $C^2 \subseteq C \times C$ .

- ► A category *C* is a 'groupoid without inverses', i.e.
  - 1. We have a (partial) associative product on  $C^2 \subseteq C \times C$ .
  - 2. Each  $c \in C$  has source and range units  $s(c), r(c) \in C^0$ , i.e.

$$cs(c) = c = cr(c)$$
 and  $C^2 = \{(c,d) \in C^2 : s(c) = r(d)\}.$ 

- ► A category C is a 'groupoid without inverses', i.e.
  - 1. We have a (partial) associative product on  $C^2 \subseteq C \times C$ .
  - 2. Each  $c \in C$  has source and range units  $s(c), r(c) \in C^0$ , i.e.

$$cs(c) = c = cr(c)$$
 and  $C^2 = \{(c,d) \in C^2 : s(c) = r(d)\}.$ 

A topology on C is étale if s, r and · (on C<sup>2</sup>) are all cts open locally injective maps (= local homeomorphisms).

- ► A category C is a 'groupoid without inverses', i.e.
  - 1. We have a (partial) associative product on  $C^2 \subseteq C \times C$ .
  - 2. Each  $c \in C$  has source and range units  $s(c), r(c) \in C^0$ , i.e.

$$cs(c) = c = cr(c)$$
 and  $C^2 = \{(c,d) \in C^2 : s(c) = r(d)\}.$ 

- A topology on C is étale if s, r and · (on C<sup>2</sup>) are all cts open locally injective maps (= local homeomorphisms).
- A map  $\rho: C \to D$  between categories is an isocofibration if  $\rho(ab) = \rho(a)\rho(b)$  when  $(a, b) \in C^2 \ \underline{OR} \ (\rho(a), \rho(b)) \in D^2$ .

- ► A category C is a 'groupoid without inverses', i.e.
  - 1. We have a (partial) associative product on  $C^2 \subseteq C \times C$ .
  - 2. Each  $c \in C$  has source and range units  $s(c), r(c) \in C^0$ , i.e.

$$cs(c) = c = cr(c)$$
 and  $C^2 = \{(c,d) \in C^2 : s(c) = r(d)\}.$ 

A topology on C is étale if s, r and · (on C<sup>2</sup>) are all cts open locally injective maps (= local homeomorphisms).

A map ρ : C → D between categories is an isocofibration if ρ(ab) = ρ(a)ρ(b) when (a, b) ∈ C<sup>2</sup> <u>OR</u> (ρ(a), ρ(b)) ∈ D<sup>2</sup>.

#### Definition

An étale category bundle is an open continuous isocofibration  $\rho: C \twoheadrightarrow G$  from an étale category C onto an étale groupoid G.

- ► A category C is a 'groupoid without inverses', i.e.
  - 1. We have a (partial) associative product on  $C^2 \subseteq C \times C$ .
  - 2. Each  $c \in C$  has source and range units  $s(c), r(c) \in C^0$ , i.e.

$$cs(c) = c = cr(c)$$
 and  $C^2 = \{(c,d) \in C^2 : s(c) = r(d)\}.$ 

A topology on C is étale if s, r and · (on C<sup>2</sup>) are all cts open locally injective maps (= local homeomorphisms).

• A map  $\rho : C \to D$  between categories is an isocofibration if  $\rho(ab) = \rho(a)\rho(b)$  when  $(a,b) \in C^2 \ \underline{OR} \ (\rho(a),\rho(b)) \in D^2$ .

#### Definition

An étale category bundle is an open continuous isocofibration  $\rho: C \twoheadrightarrow G$  from an étale category C onto an étale groupoid G.

• Continuous  $a: G \to C$  with  $\rho \circ a = id_G$  is a section.

- ► A category C is a 'groupoid without inverses', i.e.
  - 1. We have a (partial) associative product on  $C^2 \subseteq C \times C$ .
  - 2. Each  $c \in C$  has source and range units  $s(c), r(c) \in C^0$ , i.e.

$$cs(c) = c = cr(c)$$
 and  $C^2 = \{(c,d) \in C^2 : s(c) = r(d)\}.$ 

A topology on C is étale if s, r and · (on C<sup>2</sup>) are all cts open locally injective maps (= local homeomorphisms).

• A map  $\rho : C \to D$  between categories is an isocofibration if  $\rho(ab) = \rho(a)\rho(b)$  when  $(a,b) \in C^2 \ \underline{OR} \ (\rho(a),\rho(b)) \in D^2$ .

#### Definition

An étale category bundle is an open continuous isocofibration  $\rho: C \rightarrow G$  from an étale category C onto an étale groupoid G.

- Continuous  $a: G \to C$  with  $\rho \circ a = id_G$  is a section.
- Continuous  $\mathbf{0}: G \to C$  with  $\rho \circ \mathbf{0} = \mathrm{id}_G$  is a zero section if

$$c \mathbf{0}(g) = \mathbf{0}(
ho(c)g)$$
 and  $\mathbf{0}(g)d = \mathbf{0}(g
ho(d)).$ 

- ► A category C is a 'groupoid without inverses', i.e.
  - 1. We have a (partial) associative product on  $C^2 \subseteq C \times C$ .
  - 2. Each  $c \in C$  has source and range units  $s(c), r(c) \in C^0$ , i.e.

$$cs(c) = c = cr(c)$$
 and  $C^2 = \{(c,d) \in C^2 : s(c) = r(d)\}.$ 

A topology on C is étale if s, r and · (on C<sup>2</sup>) are all cts open locally injective maps (= local homeomorphisms).

• A map  $\rho : C \to D$  between categories is an isocofibration if  $\rho(ab) = \rho(a)\rho(b)$  when  $(a,b) \in C^2 \ \underline{OR} \ (\rho(a),\rho(b)) \in D^2$ .

#### Definition

An étale category bundle is an open continuous isocofibration  $\rho: C \twoheadrightarrow G$  from an étale category C onto an étale groupoid G.

- Continuous  $a: G \to C$  with  $\rho \circ a = id_G$  is a section.
- Continuous  $\mathbf{0}: G \to C$  with  $\rho \circ \mathbf{0} = \mathrm{id}_G$  is a zero section if

$$c \mathbf{0}(g) = \mathbf{0}(
ho(c)g)$$
 and  $\mathbf{0}(g)d = \mathbf{0}(g
ho(d)).$ 

If G is an ample groupoid, ρ has a zero section and each fibre has invertibles (ρ[C<sup>×</sup>] = G), ρ is an ample category bundle.

• Let  $\rho: C \twoheadrightarrow G$  be an ample category bundle.

- Let  $\rho : C \twoheadrightarrow G$  be an ample category bundle.
- The support of a section  $a: G \to C$  is given by

$$\operatorname{supp}(a) = \{g \in G : a(g) \neq \mathbf{0}(g)\}.$$

- Let  $\rho : C \twoheadrightarrow G$  be an ample category bundle.
- The support of a section  $a: G \to C$  is given by

$$\operatorname{supp}(a) = \{g \in G : a(g) \neq \mathbf{0}(g)\}.$$

• If  $\operatorname{supp}(a)$  or  $\operatorname{supp}(b)$  is a slice, we can define  $ab : G \to C$  by

$$ab(g) = egin{cases} a(h)b(i) & ext{if } h \in ext{supp}(a), i \in ext{supp}(b) ext{ and } g = hi \ m{0}(g) & ext{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

- Let  $\rho : C \twoheadrightarrow G$  be an ample category bundle.
- The support of a section  $a: G \to C$  is given by

$$\operatorname{supp}(a) = \{g \in G : a(g) \neq \mathbf{0}(g)\}.$$

• If  $\operatorname{supp}(a)$  or  $\operatorname{supp}(b)$  is a slice, we can define  $ab: G \to C$  by

$$ab(g) = egin{cases} a(h)b(i) & ext{if } h \in ext{supp}(a), i \in ext{supp}(b) ext{ and } g = hi \ m{0}(g) & ext{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

supp(ab) is compact when supp(a) and supp(b) are.

- Let  $\rho : C \twoheadrightarrow G$  be an ample category bundle.
- The support of a section  $a: G \to C$  is given by

$$\operatorname{supp}(a) = \{g \in G : a(g) \neq \mathbf{0}(g)\}.$$

• If  $\operatorname{supp}(a)$  or  $\operatorname{supp}(b)$  is a slice, we can define  $ab: G \to C$  by

 $ab(g) = \begin{cases} a(h)b(i) & \text{if } h \in \operatorname{supp}(a), i \in \operatorname{supp}(b) \text{ and } g = hi \\ \mathbf{0}(g) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$ 

- ▶ supp(ab) is compact when supp(a) and supp(b) are.
- $\Rightarrow$  compact-slice-supported sections  $\mathcal{S}_{c}(\rho)$  form a semigroup.

- Let  $\rho : C \twoheadrightarrow G$  be an ample category bundle.
- The support of a section  $a: G \to C$  is given by

$$\operatorname{supp}(a) = \{g \in G : a(g) \neq \mathbf{0}(g)\}.$$

• If  $\operatorname{supp}(a)$  or  $\operatorname{supp}(b)$  is a slice, we can define  $ab: G \to C$  by

 $ab(g) = \begin{cases} a(h)b(i) & \text{if } h \in \operatorname{supp}(a), i \in \operatorname{supp}(b) \text{ and } g = hi \\ \mathbf{0}(g) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$ 

supp(ab) is compact when supp(a) and supp(b) are.
 ⇒ compact-slice-supported sections S<sub>c</sub>(ρ) form a semigroup.
 Can we recover the bundle ρ from the semigroup S<sub>c</sub>(ρ)?

- Let  $\rho : C \twoheadrightarrow G$  be an ample category bundle.
- The support of a section  $a: G \to C$  is given by

$$\operatorname{supp}(a) = \{g \in G : a(g) \neq \mathbf{0}(g)\}.$$

• If  $\operatorname{supp}(a)$  or  $\operatorname{supp}(b)$  is a slice, we can define  $ab: G \to C$  by

 $ab(g) = \begin{cases} a(h)b(i) & \text{if } h \in \operatorname{supp}(a), i \in \operatorname{supp}(b) \text{ and } g = hi \\ \mathbf{0}(g) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$ 

supp(ab) is compact when supp(a) and supp(b) are.
 ⇒ compact-slice-supported sections S<sub>c</sub>(ρ) form a semigroup.
 Can we recover the bundle ρ from the semigroup S<sub>c</sub>(ρ)?
 No - different bundles can yields isomorphic semigroups.

- Let  $\rho : C \twoheadrightarrow G$  be an ample category bundle.
- The support of a section  $a: G \to C$  is given by

$$\operatorname{supp}(a) = \{g \in G : a(g) \neq \mathbf{0}(g)\}.$$

• If  $\operatorname{supp}(a)$  or  $\operatorname{supp}(b)$  is a slice, we can define  $ab: G \to C$  by

 $ab(g) = \begin{cases} a(h)b(i) & \text{if } h \in \operatorname{supp}(a), i \in \operatorname{supp}(b) \text{ and } g = hi \\ \mathbf{0}(g) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$ 

supp(ab) is compact when supp(a) and supp(b) are.
 ⇒ compact-slice-supported sections S<sub>c</sub>(ρ) form a semigroup.
 Can we recover the bundle ρ from the semigroup S<sub>c</sub>(ρ)?
 No - different bundles can yields isomorphic semigroups.
 ∴ We need is some additional algebraic structure on S<sub>c</sub>(ρ)...

Expectations and Projections

## Expectations and Projections

• Take an ample category bundle  $\rho$  :  $C \twoheadrightarrow G$ .

- Take an ample category bundle  $\rho: C \twoheadrightarrow G$ .
- ► A shiftable expectation  $\Phi = \Phi_{c}^{\rho}$  on  $S_{c}(\rho)$  is given by

$$\Phi(a)(g) = egin{cases} a(g) & ext{if } g \in G^0 \ \mathbf{0}(g) & ext{otherwise}. \end{cases}$$

- Take an ample category bundle  $\rho: C \twoheadrightarrow G$ .
- A shiftable expectation  $\Phi = \Phi_{c}^{\rho}$  on  $S_{c}(\rho)$  is given by

$$\Phi(a)(g) = egin{cases} a(g) & ext{if } g \in G^0 \ \mathbf{0}(g) & ext{otherwise}. \end{cases}$$

• We denote the subsemigroup of projections in  $S_c(\rho)$  by

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\mathsf{c}}(\rho) = \{ z \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathsf{c}}(\rho) : z[\operatorname{supp}(z)] \subseteq C^0 \}.$$

- Take an ample category bundle  $\rho: C \twoheadrightarrow G$ .
- A shiftable expectation  $\Phi = \Phi_{c}^{\rho}$  on  $S_{c}(\rho)$  is given by

$$\Phi(a)(g) = egin{cases} a(g) & ext{if } g \in G^0 \ \mathbf{0}(g) & ext{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

• We denote the subsemigroup of projections in  $S_{c}(\rho)$  by

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\mathsf{c}}(\rho) = \{ z \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathsf{c}}(\rho) : z[\operatorname{supp}(z)] \subseteq C^0 \}.$$

• Note  $\operatorname{supp}(a) \subseteq G^0$  and  $z[\operatorname{supp}(z)] \subseteq C^0 \Rightarrow az = za$ , i.e.  $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathsf{c}}(\rho) \subseteq \mathsf{Z}(\operatorname{ran}(\Phi)).$ 

- Take an ample category bundle  $\rho: C \twoheadrightarrow G$ .
- A shiftable expectation  $\Phi = \Phi_{c}^{\rho}$  on  $S_{c}(\rho)$  is given by

$$\Phi(a)(g) = egin{cases} a(g) & ext{if } g \in G^0 \ \mathbf{0}(g) & ext{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

• We denote the subsemigroup of projections in  $S_c(\rho)$  by

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\mathsf{c}}(\rho) = \{ z \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathsf{c}}(\rho) : z[\operatorname{supp}(z)] \subseteq C^0 \}.$$

Note supp(a) ⊆ G<sup>0</sup> and z[supp(z)] ⊆ C<sup>0</sup> ⇒ az = za, i.e.  $Z_c(ρ) ⊆ Z(ran(Φ)).$ 

- Take an ample category bundle  $\rho: C \twoheadrightarrow G$ .
- A shiftable expectation  $\Phi = \Phi_{c}^{\rho}$  on  $S_{c}(\rho)$  is given by

$$\Phi(a)(g) = egin{cases} a(g) & ext{if } g \in G^0 \ \mathbf{0}(g) & ext{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

• We denote the subsemigroup of projections in  $S_{c}(\rho)$  by

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\mathsf{c}}(\rho) = \{ z \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathsf{c}}(\rho) : z[\operatorname{supp}(z)] \subseteq C^0 \}.$$

▶ Note supp(*a*) ⊆  $G^0$  and  $z[supp(z)] \subseteq C^0 \Rightarrow az = za$ , i.e.

 $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathsf{c}}(\rho) \subseteq \mathsf{Z}(\operatorname{ran}(\Phi)).$ 

 Also Z<sub>c</sub>(ρ) is bistable and normal in S<sub>c</sub>(ρ) (N is normal in S if aN = Na, for all a ∈ S).
 ⇒ (S<sub>c</sub>(ρ), Z<sub>c</sub>(ρ), Φ) forms a well-structured semigroup.

▶ On well-structured  $(S, Z, \Phi)$  we define orders  $\leq$  and < by

$$\begin{array}{lll} a \leq b & \Leftrightarrow & a \in bZ \cap Zb. & (\text{Restriction}) \\ a < b & \Leftrightarrow & \exists s \in S \ (a <_s b), \text{ where} & (\text{Domination}) \\ a <_s b & \Leftrightarrow & as, sa \in \operatorname{ran}(\Phi), \ sb, bs \in Z \text{ and } bsa = a = asb. \end{array}$$

▶ On well-structured  $(S, Z, \Phi)$  we define orders  $\leq$  and < by

$$\begin{array}{lll} a \leq b & \Leftrightarrow & a \in bZ \cap Zb. & (\text{Restriction}) \\ a < b & \Leftrightarrow & \exists s \in S \ (a <_s b), \text{ where} & (\text{Domination}) \\ a <_s b & \Leftrightarrow & as, sa \in \operatorname{ran}(\Phi), \ sb, bs \in Z \text{ and } bsa = a = asb. \end{array}$$

 $\blacktriangleright$  For inverse semigroups,  $\leq$  and < are just the canonical order.

▶ On well-structured  $(S, Z, \Phi)$  we define orders  $\leq$  and < by

$$\begin{array}{lll} a \leq b & \Leftrightarrow & a \in bZ \cap Zb. & (\text{Restriction}) \\ a < b & \Leftrightarrow & \exists s \in S \ (a <_s b), \ \text{where} & (\text{Domination}) \\ a <_s b & \Leftrightarrow & as, sa \in \operatorname{ran}(\Phi), \ sb, bs \in Z \ \text{and} \ bsa = a = asb. \\ \end{array}$$
For inverse semigroups,  $\leq \operatorname{and} < \operatorname{are}$  just the canonical order.

• If  $\rho: C \twoheadrightarrow G$  is an ample category bundle with well-structured  $(S, Z, \Phi) = (S_c(\rho), Z_c(\rho), \Phi_c^{\rho})$  then, for any  $a, b \in S_c(\rho)$ ,

$$egin{array}{lll} a\leq b & \Leftrightarrow & a|_{\mathrm{supp}(a)}=b|_{\mathrm{supp}(a)}\ a< b & \Leftrightarrow & \mathrm{supp}(a)\subseteq b^{-1}[C^{ imes}]. \end{array}$$

▶ On well-structured  $(S, Z, \Phi)$  we define orders  $\leq$  and < by

$$\begin{array}{lll} a \leq b & \Leftrightarrow & a \in bZ \cap Zb. & (\text{Restriction}) \\ a < b & \Leftrightarrow & \exists s \in S \ (a <_s b), \text{ where} & (\text{Domination}) \\ a <_s b & \Leftrightarrow & as, sa \in \operatorname{ran}(\Phi), \ sb, bs \in Z \text{ and } bsa = a = asb. \end{array}$$

For inverse semigroups, ≤ and < are just the canonical order.</li>
 If ρ : C → G is an ample category bundle with well-structured (S, Z, Φ) = (S<sub>c</sub>(ρ), Z<sub>c</sub>(ρ), Φ<sup>ρ</sup><sub>c</sub>) then, for any a, b ∈ S<sub>c</sub>(ρ),

$a \leq b$	$\Leftrightarrow$	$ a _{\mathrm{supp}(a)}=b _{\mathrm{supp}(a)}$
a < b	$\Leftrightarrow$	$\operatorname{supp}(a) \subseteq b^{-1}[C^{\times}].$

▶ In fact  $a \le b \Rightarrow a|_{O} = b|_{O}$  for some clopen  $O \supseteq \operatorname{supp}(a)$ .

▶ On well-structured  $(S, Z, \Phi)$  we define orders  $\leq$  and < by

$$\begin{array}{lll} a \leq b & \Leftrightarrow & a \in bZ \cap Zb. & (\text{Restriction}) \\ a < b & \Leftrightarrow & \exists s \in S \ (a <_s b), \text{ where} & (\text{Domination}) \\ a <_s b & \Leftrightarrow & as, sa \in \operatorname{ran}(\Phi), \ sb, bs \in Z \text{ and } bsa = a = asb. \end{array}$$

For inverse semigroups, ≤ and < are just the canonical order.</li>
 If ρ : C → G is an ample category bundle with well-structured (S, Z, Φ) = (S<sub>c</sub>(ρ), Z<sub>c</sub>(ρ), Φ<sup>ρ</sup><sub>c</sub>) then, for any a, b ∈ S<sub>c</sub>(ρ),

$$egin{array}{lll} a\leq b&\Leftrightarrow&a|_{\mathrm{supp}(a)}=b|_{\mathrm{supp}(a)}\ a< b&\Leftrightarrow&\mathrm{supp}(a)\subseteq b^{-1}[C^{ imes}]. \end{array}$$

▶ In fact  $a \le b \Rightarrow a|_{O} = b|_{O}$  for some clopen  $O \supseteq \operatorname{supp}(a)$ . ⇒ we can define a (relative) complement  $b \setminus a \in \mathcal{S}_{c}(\rho)$  by

$$(b \setminus a)(g) = egin{cases} \mathbf{0}(g) & ext{if } g \in ext{supp}(a) \\ b(g) & ext{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

▶ On well-structured  $(S, Z, \Phi)$  we define orders  $\leq$  and < by

$$\begin{array}{lll} a \leq b & \Leftrightarrow & a \in bZ \cap Zb. & (\text{Restriction}) \\ a < b & \Leftrightarrow & \exists s \in S \ (a <_s b), \text{ where} & (\text{Domination}) \\ a <_s b & \Leftrightarrow & as, sa \in \operatorname{ran}(\Phi), \ sb, bs \in Z \text{ and } bsa = a = asb. \end{array}$$

For inverse semigroups, ≤ and < are just the canonical order.</li>
 If ρ : C → G is an ample category bundle with well-structured (S, Z, Φ) = (S<sub>c</sub>(ρ), Z<sub>c</sub>(ρ), Φ<sup>ρ</sup><sub>c</sub>) then, for any a, b ∈ S<sub>c</sub>(ρ),

$$egin{array}{lll} a\leq b & \Leftrightarrow & a|_{\mathrm{supp}(a)}=b|_{\mathrm{supp}(a)}\ a < b & \Leftrightarrow & \mathrm{supp}(a)\subseteq b^{-1}[\mathcal{C}^{ imes}]. \end{array}$$

▶ In fact  $a \le b \Rightarrow a|_{O} = b|_{O}$  for some clopen  $O \supseteq \operatorname{supp}(a)$ . ⇒ we can define a (relative) complement  $b \setminus a \in \mathcal{S}_{c}(\rho)$  by

$$(b \setminus a)(g) = egin{cases} \mathbf{0}(g) & ext{if } g \in ext{supp}(a) \\ b(g) & ext{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

▶ Also, for every  $a \in S_c(\rho)$ , we have  $b \in S_c(\rho)$  with a < b.

▶ On well-structured  $(S, Z, \Phi)$  with  $0 \in Z$  we define  $\bot$  by

 $a \perp b \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \exists y, z \in Z \ (ya = a = az \text{ and } yb = 0 = bz).$ 

▶ On well-structured  $(S, Z, \Phi)$  with  $0 \in Z$  we define  $\bot$  by

 $a \perp b \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \exists y, z \in Z \ (ya = a = az \text{ and } yb = 0 = bz).$ 

For inverse semigroups,  $a \perp b$  means  $ab^{-1} = a^{-1}b = 0$ .

▶ On well-structured  $(S, Z, \Phi)$  with  $0 \in Z$  we define  $\bot$  by

 $a \perp b \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \exists y, z \in Z \ (ya = a = az \text{ and } yb = 0 = bz).$ 

▶ For inverse semigroups,  $a \perp b$  means  $ab^{-1} = a^{-1}b = 0$ .

▶ If  $\rho : C \twoheadrightarrow G$  is an ample category bundle with well-structured  $(S, Z, \Phi) = (S_c(\rho), Z_c(\rho), \Phi_c^{\rho})$  then, for any  $a, b \in S_c(\rho)$ ,

$$a \perp b \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \operatorname{supp}(a) \cap \operatorname{supp}(b) = \emptyset \ \& \ \operatorname{supp}(a) \cup \operatorname{supp}(b) \in \mathcal{B}(G).$$

▶ On well-structured  $(S, Z, \Phi)$  with  $0 \in Z$  we define  $\bot$  by

$$a \perp b \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \exists y, z \in Z \ (ya = a = az \text{ and } yb = 0 = bz).$$

For inverse semigroups,  $a \perp b$  means  $ab^{-1} = a^{-1}b = 0$ .

▶ If  $\rho : C \twoheadrightarrow G$  is an ample category bundle with well-structured  $(S, Z, \Phi) = (S_c(\rho), Z_c(\rho), \Phi_c^{\rho})$  then, for any  $a, b \in S_c(\rho)$ ,

$$a \perp b \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \operatorname{supp}(a) \cap \operatorname{supp}(b) = \emptyset \ \& \ \operatorname{supp}(a) \cup \operatorname{supp}(b) \in \mathcal{B}(G).$$

 $\Rightarrow\,$  we can define a supremum (w.r.t. restriction) a  $\lor\, b\in \mathcal{S}_{\mathsf{c}}(\rho)$  by

$$(a \lor b)(g) = egin{cases} a(g) & ext{if } g \in ext{supp}(a) \ b(g) & ext{otherwise}. \end{cases}$$

▶ On well-structured  $(S, Z, \Phi)$  with  $0 \in Z$  we define  $\bot$  by

$$a \perp b \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \exists y, z \in Z \ (ya = a = az \text{ and } yb = 0 = bz).$$

For inverse semigroups,  $a \perp b$  means  $ab^{-1} = a^{-1}b = 0$ .

▶ If  $\rho : C \twoheadrightarrow G$  is an ample category bundle with well-structured  $(S, Z, \Phi) = (S_c(\rho), Z_c(\rho), \Phi_c^{\rho})$  then, for any  $a, b \in S_c(\rho)$ ,

$$a\perp b \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \mathrm{supp}(a)\cap \mathrm{supp}(b)=\emptyset \ \& \ \mathrm{supp}(a)\cup \mathrm{supp}(b)\in \mathcal{B}(G).$$

 $\Rightarrow\,$  we can define a supremum (w.r.t. restriction) a  $\vee\, b\in\mathcal{S}_{\mathsf{c}}(\rho)$  by

$$(a \lor b)(g) = egin{cases} a(g) & ext{if } g \in ext{supp}(a) \ b(g) & ext{otherwise}. \end{cases}$$

For any other  $s \in S_{c}(\rho)$ , we also immediately see that

 $(a \lor b)s = as \lor bs$  &  $s(a \lor b) = sa \lor sb$ . (Distributivity)

▶ On well-structured  $(S, Z, \Phi)$  with  $0 \in Z$  we define  $\bot$  by

$$a \perp b \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \exists y, z \in Z \ (ya = a = az \text{ and } yb = 0 = bz).$$

For inverse semigroups,  $a \perp b$  means  $ab^{-1} = a^{-1}b = 0$ .

▶ If  $\rho : C \twoheadrightarrow G$  is an ample category bundle with well-structured  $(S, Z, \Phi) = (S_c(\rho), Z_c(\rho), \Phi_c^{\rho})$  then, for any  $a, b \in S_c(\rho)$ ,

$$a\perp b \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \mathrm{supp}(a)\cap \mathrm{supp}(b)=\emptyset \ \& \ \mathrm{supp}(a)\cup \mathrm{supp}(b)\in \mathcal{B}(G).$$

 $\Rightarrow\,$  we can define a supremum (w.r.t. restriction) a  $\vee\, b\in\mathcal{S}_{\mathsf{c}}(\rho)$  by

$$(a \lor b)(g) = egin{cases} a(g) & ext{if } g \in ext{supp}(a) \ b(g) & ext{otherwise}. \end{cases}$$

For any other  $s \in S_{c}(\rho)$ , we also immediately see that

 $(a \lor b)s = as \lor bs$  &  $s(a \lor b) = sa \lor sb$ . (Distributivity)

► Also  $y, z \in \mathcal{Z}_{c}(\rho)$ , i.e.  $y[\operatorname{supp}(y)], z[\operatorname{supp}(z)] \subseteq C^{0}$ ,  $\Rightarrow y \lor z \in \mathcal{Z}_{c}(\rho)$ .

Definition We call  $(S, Z, \Phi)$  a well-structured semigroup if

Definition

We call  $(S, Z, \Phi)$  a well-structured semigroup if

1. S is a semigroup on which we have a shiftable expectation  $\Phi$ , and

Definition

We call  $(S, Z, \Phi)$  a well-structured semigroup if

- 1. S is a semigroup on which we have a shiftable expectation  $\Phi,$  and
- 2. Z is a normal bistable subsemigroup of idempotents in  $Z(ran(\Phi))$ .

Definition

We call  $(S, Z, \Phi)$  a well-structured semigroup if

1.  ${\it S}$  is a semigroup on which we have a shiftable expectation  $\Phi,$  and

2. Z is a normal bistable subsemigroup of idempotents in  $Z(ran(\Phi))$ . We call  $(S, Z, \Phi)$  a Steinberg semigroup if, moreover, every element of S is dominated by another, Z has a zero and both S and Z have distributive orthosuprema and complements.

Definition

We call  $(S, Z, \Phi)$  a well-structured semigroup if

1. *S* is a semigroup on which we have a shiftable expectation  $\Phi$ , and 2. *Z* is a normal bistable subsemigroup of idempotents in  $Z(ran(\Phi))$ .

We call  $(S, Z, \Phi)$  a Steinberg semigroup of idempotents in  $Z(ran(\Phi))$ every element of S is dominated by another, Z has a zero and both S and Z have distributive orthosuprema and complements.

What we have shown is that every ample category bundle ρ : C → G yields a Steinberg semigroup (S<sub>c</sub>(ρ), Z<sub>c</sub>(ρ), Φ<sup>ρ</sup><sub>c</sub>).

Definition

We call  $(S, Z, \Phi)$  a well-structured semigroup if

1. S is a semigroup on which we have a shiftable expectation  $\Phi$ , and 2. Z is a normal bistable subsemigroup of idempotents in  $Z(ran(\Phi))$ . We call  $(S, Z, \Phi)$  a Steinberg semigroup if, moreover,

every element of S is dominated by another, Z has a zero and both S and Z have distributive orthosuprema and complements.

- What we have shown is that every ample category bundle ρ : C → G yields a Steinberg semigroup (S<sub>c</sub>(ρ), Z<sub>c</sub>(ρ), Φ<sup>ρ</sup><sub>c</sub>).
- ▶ Note G here is Hausdorff but C may not be. In fact

 $\begin{array}{ll} C \text{ is Hausdorff} & \Leftrightarrow & \mathsf{each} \ a \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathsf{c}}(\rho) \ \mathsf{has \ open \ support} \\ & \Rightarrow & \mathsf{each} \ a \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathsf{c}}(\rho) \ \mathsf{has \ support \ projections}, \end{array}$ 

i.e. minimal  $y, z \in \mathcal{Z}_{c}(\rho)$  such that ya = a = az.

Definition

We call  $(S, Z, \Phi)$  a well-structured semigroup if

1. *S* is a semigroup on which we have a shiftable expectation  $\Phi$ , and 2. *Z* is a normal bistable subsemigroup of idempotents in  $Z(ran(\Phi))$ . We call  $(S, Z, \Phi)$  a Steinberg semigroup if, moreover, every element of *S* is dominated by another, *Z* has a zero and

both S and Z have distributive orthosuprema and complements.

- What we have shown is that every ample category bundle ρ : C → G yields a Steinberg semigroup (S<sub>c</sub>(ρ), Z<sub>c</sub>(ρ), Φ<sup>ρ</sup><sub>c</sub>).
- ▶ Note G here is Hausdorff but C may not be. In fact

 $\begin{array}{ll} C \text{ is Hausdorff} & \Leftrightarrow & \mathsf{each} \ a \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathsf{c}}(\rho) \text{ has open support} \\ & \Rightarrow & \mathsf{each} \ a \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathsf{c}}(\rho) \text{ has support projections,} \end{array}$ 

i.e. minimal  $y, z \in \mathcal{Z}_{c}(\rho)$  such that ya = a = az.

⇒ (S, Z) is a restriction semigroup (see Kudryavtseva-Lawson). Boolean Restriction  $\land$ -Semigroups  $\subseteq_{\neq}$  Steinberg Semigroups.

Can we recover an ample category bundle ρ : C → G from the resulting Steinberg semigroup (S<sub>c</sub>(ρ), Z<sub>c</sub>(ρ), Φ<sup>ρ</sup><sub>c</sub>)?

- Can we recover an ample category bundle ρ : C → G from the resulting Steinberg semigroup (S<sub>c</sub>(ρ), Z<sub>c</sub>(ρ), Φ<sup>ρ</sup><sub>c</sub>)?
- Yes. As before, we first have an isomorphism from G onto the ultrafilter groupoid of S<sub>c</sub>(ρ) (w.r.t. domination <):</p>

$$g \mapsto \mathcal{U}_g = \{a \in S : a(g) \in C^{\times}\}.$$

- Can we recover an ample category bundle ρ : C → G from the resulting Steinberg semigroup (S<sub>c</sub>(ρ), Z<sub>c</sub>(ρ), Φ<sup>ρ</sup><sub>c</sub>)?
- Yes. As before, we first have an isomorphism from G onto the ultrafilter groupoid of S<sub>c</sub>(ρ) (w.r.t. domination <):</p>

$$g \mapsto \mathcal{U}_g = \{a \in S : a(g) \in C^{\times}\}.$$

▶ Note a(g) = b(g) implies  $a|_{O} = b|_{O}$  for some open  $O \ni x$  so

$$a(g)=b(g) \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \exists s \in \mathcal{U}_{g^{-1}} \; (\Phi(as)=\Phi(bs)).$$

- Can we recover an ample category bundle ρ : C → G from the resulting Steinberg semigroup (S<sub>c</sub>(ρ), Z<sub>c</sub>(ρ), Φ<sup>ρ</sup><sub>c</sub>)?
- Yes. As before, we first have an isomorphism from G onto the ultrafilter groupoid of S<sub>c</sub>(ρ) (w.r.t. domination <):</p>

$$g \mapsto \mathcal{U}_g = \{a \in S : a(g) \in C^{\times}\}.$$

▶ Note a(g) = b(g) implies  $a|_{O} = b|_{O}$  for some open  $O \ni x$  so

$$a(g)=b(g) \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \exists s \in \mathcal{U}_{g^{-1}} \; (\Phi(as)=\Phi(bs)).$$

Thus the fibre at g can be recovered from the equivalence classes of S<sub>c</sub>(ρ) modulo the relation defined on the right.

• Take a Steinberg semigroup  $(S, Z, \Phi)$ .

- Take a Steinberg semigroup  $(S, Z, \Phi)$ .
- The ultrafilters  $\mathcal{U}(S)$  again form an ample groupoid where

$$U \cdot V = (UV)^{<}$$
 and  $U^{-1} = \{s \in S : U \ni u <_s v\}.$ 

- Take a Steinberg semigroup  $(S, Z, \Phi)$ .
- The ultrafilters  $\mathcal{U}(S)$  again form an ample groupoid where

$$U \cdot V = (UV)^{<}$$
 and  $U^{-1} = \{s \in S : U \ni u <_s v\}.$ 

• Given  $U \in \mathcal{U}(S)$ , define an equivalence  $\sim_U$  on S by

$$a \sim_U b \qquad \Leftrightarrow \qquad \exists s \in U^{-1} \ (\Phi(as) = \Phi(bs)).$$

Steinberg Semigroups  $\rightarrow$  Ample Category Bundles

- Take a Steinberg semigroup  $(S, Z, \Phi)$ .
- The ultrafilters  $\mathcal{U}(S)$  again form an ample groupoid where

$$U \cdot V = (UV)^{<}$$
 and  $U^{-1} = \{s \in S : U \ni u <_s v\}.$ 

• Given  $U \in \mathcal{U}(S)$ , define an equivalence  $\sim_U$  on S by

$$a \sim_U b \qquad \Leftrightarrow \qquad \exists s \in U^{-1} \ (\Phi(as) = \Phi(bs)).$$

► The pairs [a, U] = (a<sup>~v</sup>, U<sup><</sup>) of ultrafilters and their equivalence classes form a category under the product

$$[a, U][b, V] = [ab, UV]$$
 when  $0 \notin UV$ .

Steinberg Semigroups  $\rightarrow$  Ample Category Bundles

- Take a Steinberg semigroup  $(S, Z, \Phi)$ .
- The ultrafilters  $\mathcal{U}(S)$  again form an ample groupoid where

$$U \cdot V = (UV)^{<}$$
 and  $U^{-1} = \{s \in S : U \ni u <_s v\}.$ 

• Given  $U \in \mathcal{U}(S)$ , define an equivalence  $\sim_U$  on S by

$$a \sim_U b \qquad \Leftrightarrow \qquad \exists s \in U^{-1} \ (\Phi(as) = \Phi(bs)).$$

► The pairs [a, U] = (a<sup>~v</sup>, U<sup><</sup>) of ultrafilters and their equivalence classes form a category under the product

$$[a, U][b, V] = [ab, UV]$$
 when  $0 \notin UV$ .

• Define  $\rho$  on these pairs  $\mathcal{U}[S]$  onto the ultrafilters  $\mathcal{U}(S)$  by

$$\rho([a, U]) = U^{<}.$$

Steinberg Semigroups  $\rightarrow$  Ample Category Bundles

- Take a Steinberg semigroup  $(S, Z, \Phi)$ .
- The ultrafilters  $\mathcal{U}(S)$  again form an ample groupoid where

$$U \cdot V = (UV)^{<}$$
 and  $U^{-1} = \{s \in S : U \ni u <_s v\}.$ 

• Given  $U \in \mathcal{U}(S)$ , define an equivalence  $\sim_U$  on S by

$$a \sim_U b \qquad \Leftrightarrow \qquad \exists s \in U^{-1} \ (\Phi(as) = \Phi(bs)).$$

► The pairs [a, U] = (a<sup>~v</sup>, U<sup><</sup>) of ultrafilters and their equivalence classes form a category under the product

$$[a, U][b, V] = [ab, UV]$$
 when  $0 \notin UV$ .

• Define  $\rho$  on these pairs  $\mathcal{U}[S]$  onto the ultrafilters  $\mathcal{U}(S)$  by

$$\rho([a, U]) = U^{<}.$$

This ρ is an ample category bundle with the topology on U[S] generated by ρ<sup>-1</sup>[U(a)] and U[a] = {[a, U] : U ∈ U(S)}.

Take a Steinberg semigroup (S, Z, Φ) and consider the resulting ample category bundle ρ = ρ<sub>(S,Z,Φ)</sub> : U[S] → U(S).

- Take a Steinberg semigroup (S, Z, Φ) and consider the resulting ample category bundle ρ = ρ<sub>(S,Z,Φ)</sub> : U[S] → U(S).
- Every  $a \in S$  defines  $\widehat{a} \in S_{c}(\rho)$  by

$$\widehat{a}(U) = [a, U].$$

Take a Steinberg semigroup (S, Z, Φ) and consider the resulting ample category bundle ρ = ρ<sub>(S,Z,Φ)</sub> : U[S] → U(S).

• Every 
$$a \in S$$
 defines  $\widehat{a} \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathsf{c}}(
ho)$  by

$$\widehat{a}(U) = [a, U].$$

• This is a semigroup isomorphism from S onto  $S_c(\rho)$ .

- Take a Steinberg semigroup (S, Z, Φ) and consider the resulting ample category bundle ρ = ρ<sub>(S,Z,Φ)</sub> : U[S] → U(S).
- Every  $a \in S$  defines  $\widehat{a} \in S_{c}(\rho)$  by

$$\widehat{a}(U) = [a, U].$$

- This is a semigroup isomorphism from *S* onto  $S_c(\rho)$ .
- ▶ It also maps Z onto  $Z_{c}(\rho)$  and, for all  $a \in S_{c}(\rho)$ ,

$$\widehat{\Phi(a)} = \Phi^{\rho}_{\mathsf{c}}(\widehat{a}).$$

Take a Steinberg semigroup (S, Z, Φ) and consider the resulting ample category bundle ρ = ρ<sub>(S,Z,Φ)</sub> : U[S] → U(S).

• Every 
$$a \in S$$
 defines  $\widehat{a} \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathsf{c}}(
ho)$  by

$$\widehat{a}(U) = [a, U].$$

- This is a semigroup isomorphism from S onto  $S_{c}(\rho)$ .
- ▶ It also maps Z onto  $Z_{c}(\rho)$  and, for all  $a \in S_{c}(\rho)$ ,

$$\widehat{\Phi(a)} = \Phi^{\rho}_{\mathsf{c}}(\widehat{a}).$$

#### Theorem (B. 2021)

Steinberg semigroups are dual to ample category bundles via

$$(S, Z, \Phi) \mapsto \rho_{(S, Z, \Phi)}$$
 and  $\rho \mapsto (\mathcal{S}_{\mathsf{c}}(\rho), \mathcal{Z}_{\mathsf{c}}(\rho), \Phi^{\rho}_{\mathsf{c}}).$ 

 If (S, Z, Φ) and (S', Z', Φ') are Steinberg semigroups then a Steinberg morphism is a map π : S → S' s.t. π[Z] ⊆ Z',

 $\pi(ab)=\pi(a)\pi(b), \quad \pi(a \lor b)=\pi(a)\lor \pi(b) \quad ext{and} \quad \Phi'(\pi(a))=\pi(\Phi(a)).$ 

 If (S, Z, Φ) and (S', Z', Φ') are Steinberg semigroups then a Steinberg morphism is a map π : S → S' s.t. π[Z] ⊆ Z',

 $\pi(ab)=\pi(a)\pi(b), \quad \pi(a \lor b)=\pi(a)\lor \pi(b) \quad ext{and} \quad \Phi'(\pi(a))=\pi(\Phi(a)).$ 

Then we get a continuous star-bijective functor π̂ from an open subgroupoid of U(S') to U(S) defined by

$$\widehat{\pi}(U') = \pi^{-1}[U']^<$$
 when  $\pi^{-1}[U'] 
eq \emptyset.$ 

If (S, Z, Φ) and (S', Z', Φ') are Steinberg semigroups then a Steinberg morphism is a map π : S → S' s.t. π[Z] ⊆ Z',

 $\pi(ab)=\pi(a)\pi(b), \quad \pi(aee b)=\pi(a)ee \pi(b) \quad ext{and} \quad \Phi'(\pi(a))=\pi(\Phi(a)).$ 

Then we get a continuous star-bijective functor π̂ from an open subgroupoid of U(S') to U(S) defined by

$$\widehat{\pi}(U')=\pi^{-1}[U']^<$$
 when  $\pi^{-1}[U']
eq \emptyset.$ 

We also get a bundle morphism from the π̂-pullback of ρ(s,z,Φ) to ρ(s',z',Φ').

If (S, Z, Φ) and (S', Z', Φ') are Steinberg semigroups then a Steinberg morphism is a map π : S → S' s.t. π[Z] ⊆ Z',

 $\pi(ab)=\pi(a)\pi(b), \quad \pi(aee b)=\pi(a)ee \pi(b) \quad ext{and} \quad \Phi'(\pi(a))=\pi(\Phi(a)).$ 

Then we get a continuous star-bijective functor π̂ from an open subgroupoid of U(S') to U(S) defined by

$$\widehat{\pi}(U')=\pi^{-1}[U']^<$$
 when  $\pi^{-1}[U']
eq \emptyset.$ 

- We also get a bundle morphism from the π̂-pullback of ρ(S,Z,Φ) to ρ(S',Z',Φ').
- In this way, Steinberg morphisms between Steinberg semigroups correspond precisely to Pierce morphisms between the corresponding ample category bundles.

If (S, Z, Φ) and (S', Z', Φ') are Steinberg semigroups then a Steinberg morphism is a map π : S → S' s.t. π[Z] ⊆ Z',

 $\pi(ab)=\pi(a)\pi(b), \quad \pi(aee b)=\pi(a)ee \pi(b) \quad ext{and} \quad \Phi'(\pi(a))=\pi(\Phi(a)).$ 

Then we get a continuous star-bijective functor π̂ from an open subgroupoid of U(S') to U(S) defined by

$$\widehat{\pi}(U')=\pi^{-1}[U']^<$$
 when  $\pi^{-1}[U']
eq \emptyset.$ 

- We also get a bundle morphism from the π̂-pullback of ρ(S,Z,Φ) to ρ(S',Z',Φ').
- In this way, Steinberg morphisms between Steinberg semigroups correspond precisely to Pierce morphisms between the corresponding ample category bundles.

#### Theorem (B. 2021)

Under these morphisms, Steinberg semigroups and ample category bundles form equivalent categories.

Definition

An ample ringoid bundle is an ample category bundle  $\rho : C \twoheadrightarrow G$ where each fibre  $\rho^{-1}{g}$  is an abelian group and products distribute over sums whenever they are defined, i.e.

$$(a+b)(c+d) = ac + ad + bc + bd.$$

Definition

An ample ringoid bundle is an ample category bundle  $\rho : C \twoheadrightarrow G$ where each fibre  $\rho^{-1}{g}$  is an abelian group and products distribute over sums whenever they are defined, i.e.

$$(a+b)(c+d) = ac + ad + bc + bd.$$

We can then extend the product from S<sub>c</sub>(ρ) to all compactly supported continuous sections C<sub>c</sub>(ρ) by convolution:

$$ab(g) = \sum_{g=hi} a(h)b(i)$$

(sums are finite because  $C_{c}(\rho)$  consists of finite sums of  $S_{c}(\rho)$ )

Definition

An ample ringoid bundle is an ample category bundle  $\rho : C \twoheadrightarrow G$ where each fibre  $\rho^{-1}{g}$  is an abelian group and products distribute over sums whenever they are defined, i.e.

$$(a+b)(c+d) = ac + ad + bc + bd.$$

We can then extend the product from S<sub>c</sub>(ρ) to all compactly supported continuous sections C<sub>c</sub>(ρ) by convolution:

$$ab(g) = \sum_{g=hi} a(h)b(i)$$

(sums are finite because  $C_c(\rho)$  consists of finite sums of  $S_c(\rho)$ )  $\Phi_c^{\rho}$  also extends to an additive expectation on  $C_c(\rho)$ .

#### Definition

An ample ringoid bundle is an ample category bundle  $\rho : C \twoheadrightarrow G$ where each fibre  $\rho^{-1}{g}$  is an abelian group and products distribute over sums whenever they are defined, i.e.

$$(a+b)(c+d) = ac + ad + bc + bd.$$

We can then extend the product from S<sub>c</sub>(ρ) to all compactly supported continuous sections C<sub>c</sub>(ρ) by convolution:

$$ab(g) = \sum_{g=hi} a(h)b(i)$$

(sums are finite because  $C_{c}(\rho)$  consists of finite sums of  $S_{c}(\rho)$ )

- $\Phi_{c}^{\rho}$  also extends to an additive expectation on  $C_{c}(\rho)$ .
- $\Rightarrow (\mathcal{C}_{\mathsf{c}}(\rho), \mathcal{S}_{\mathsf{c}}(\rho), \mathcal{Z}_{\mathsf{c}}(\rho), \Phi_{\mathsf{c}}^{\rho}) \text{ is a Steinberg ring, i.e.}$ a Steinberg semigroup generating a larger ring.

• Given a Steinberg ring  $(A, S, Z, \Phi)$ , define  $\mathcal{U}(S)$  as before.

- Given a Steinberg ring  $(A, S, Z, \Phi)$ , define  $\mathcal{U}(S)$  as before.
- For each  $U \in \mathcal{U}(S)$  we can define  $\sim_U$  now on all of A, i.e.

$$a \sim_U b \qquad \Leftrightarrow \qquad \exists s \in U^{-1} \ (\Phi(as) = \Phi(bs)).$$

- Given a Steinberg ring  $(A, S, Z, \Phi)$ , define  $\mathcal{U}(S)$  as before.
- For each  $U \in \mathcal{U}(S)$  we can define  $\sim_U$  now on all of A, i.e.

$$a \sim_U b \qquad \Leftrightarrow \qquad \exists s \in U^{-1} \ (\Phi(as) = \Phi(bs)).$$

As Φ is additive, so is ~<sub>U</sub>. Thus we can add equivalence classes for fixed U ∈ U(S), i.e. [a, U] + [b, U] = [a + b, U].

- Given a Steinberg ring  $(A, S, Z, \Phi)$ , define  $\mathcal{U}(S)$  as before.
- For each  $U \in \mathcal{U}(S)$  we can define  $\sim_U$  now on all of A, i.e.

$$a \sim_U b \qquad \Leftrightarrow \qquad \exists s \in U^{-1} \ (\Phi(as) = \Phi(bs)).$$

- As Φ is additive, so is ~<sub>U</sub>. Thus we can add equivalence classes for fixed U ∈ U(S), i.e. [a, U] + [b, U] = [a + b, U].
- This makes  $\rho : \mathcal{U}[A] \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{U}(S)$  is an ample ringoid bundle.

- Given a Steinberg ring  $(A, S, Z, \Phi)$ , define  $\mathcal{U}(S)$  as before.
- For each  $U \in \mathcal{U}(S)$  we can define  $\sim_U$  now on all of A, i.e.

$$a \sim_U b \qquad \Leftrightarrow \qquad \exists s \in U^{-1} \ (\Phi(as) = \Phi(bs)).$$

- As Φ is additive, so is ~<sub>U</sub>. Thus we can add equivalence classes for fixed U ∈ U(S), i.e. [a, U] + [b, U] = [a + b, U].
- This makes  $\rho : \mathcal{U}[A] \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{U}(S)$  is an ample ringoid bundle.
- Moreover,  $a \mapsto \hat{a}$  is faithful on all of A where

$$\widehat{a}(U)=[a,U].$$

- Given a Steinberg ring  $(A, S, Z, \Phi)$ , define  $\mathcal{U}(S)$  as before.
- For each  $U \in \mathcal{U}(S)$  we can define  $\sim_U$  now on all of A, i.e.

$$a \sim_U b \qquad \Leftrightarrow \qquad \exists s \in U^{-1} \ (\Phi(as) = \Phi(bs)).$$

- As Φ is additive, so is ~<sub>U</sub>. Thus we can add equivalence classes for fixed U ∈ U(S), i.e. [a, U] + [b, U] = [a + b, U].
- This makes  $\rho : \mathcal{U}[A] \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{U}(S)$  is an ample ringoid bundle.
- Moreover,  $a \mapsto \hat{a}$  is faithful on all of A where

$$\widehat{a}(U) = [a, U].$$

• Again  $\widehat{A} = C_{c}(\rho)$ ,  $\widehat{S} = S_{c}(\rho)$ ,  $\widehat{Z} = Z_{c}(\rho)$  and  $\widehat{\Phi} = \Phi_{c}^{\rho}$ .

- Given a Steinberg ring  $(A, S, Z, \Phi)$ , define  $\mathcal{U}(S)$  as before.
- For each  $U \in \mathcal{U}(S)$  we can define  $\sim_U$  now on all of A, i.e.

$$a \sim_U b \qquad \Leftrightarrow \qquad \exists s \in U^{-1} \ (\Phi(as) = \Phi(bs)).$$

- As Φ is additive, so is ~<sub>U</sub>. Thus we can add equivalence classes for fixed U ∈ U(S), i.e. [a, U] + [b, U] = [a + b, U].
- This makes  $\rho : \mathcal{U}[A] \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{U}(S)$  is an ample ringoid bundle.
- Moreover,  $a \mapsto \hat{a}$  is faithful on all of A where

$$\widehat{a}(U) = [a, U].$$

- Again  $\widehat{A} = C_{c}(\rho)$ ,  $\widehat{S} = S_{c}(\rho)$ ,  $\widehat{Z} = Z_{c}(\rho)$  and  $\widehat{\Phi} = \Phi_{c}^{\rho}$ .
- Morphisms are as before but also preserve additive structure.

- Given a Steinberg ring  $(A, S, Z, \Phi)$ , define  $\mathcal{U}(S)$  as before.
- For each  $U \in \mathcal{U}(S)$  we can define  $\sim_U$  now on all of A, i.e.

$$a \sim_U b \qquad \Leftrightarrow \qquad \exists s \in U^{-1} \ (\Phi(as) = \Phi(bs)).$$

- As Φ is additive, so is ~<sub>U</sub>. Thus we can add equivalence classes for fixed U ∈ U(S), i.e. [a, U] + [b, U] = [a + b, U].
- This makes  $\rho : \mathcal{U}[A] \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{U}(S)$  is an ample ringoid bundle.
- Moreover,  $a \mapsto \hat{a}$  is faithful on all of A where

$$\widehat{a}(U) = [a, U].$$

- Again  $\widehat{A} = C_{c}(\rho)$ ,  $\widehat{S} = S_{c}(\rho)$ ,  $\widehat{Z} = Z_{c}(\rho)$  and  $\widehat{\Phi} = \Phi_{c}^{\rho}$ .
- Morphisms are as before but also preserve additive structure.

#### Theorem (B. 2021)

Steinberg rings & ample ringoid bundles form equivalent categories.